



## STATISTICALLY $p_\tau$ -CONVERGENCE IN LATTICE-NORMED LOCALLY SOLID RIESZ SPACES

ABDULLAH AYDIN AND HATİCE ÜNLÜ EROĞLU

*Received 26 June, 2022*

*Abstract.* In this paper, we introduce the concept of the statistical convergence with respect to solid topology and Riesz valued norms on lattice-normed locally solid Riesz spaces. Moreover, we give the notions of statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded and statistically  $p_\tau$ -dense sequence, and we introduce statistically  $p_\tau$ -continuous and statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded operators. We also investigate some properties and examples of these concepts.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 40A35; 46A40; 47B07; 46B42

*Keywords:* lattice-normed locally solid Riesz space, statistically  $p_\tau$ -convergence, statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded, statistically  $p_\tau$ -dense, statistically  $p_\tau$ -continuous operator

### 1. INTRODUCTORY FACTS

Lattice-valued norms on Riesz spaces and statistical convergence of sequences provide natural and efficient tools in the theory of functional analysis. A Riesz space that was introduced by Riesz [20] is an ordered vector space having many applications in measure theory, operator theory, and economics [2, 3, 19, 23]. On the other hand, a lattice-normed space is a lattice-valued norm, and it is enough to mention the theory of lattice-normed vector lattices [11, 17, 18]. As an active area of research, statistical convergence is a generalization of the ordinary convergence of a real sequence, and the idea of statistical convergence was firstly introduced by Zygmund [16]. After then, Fast [13] and Steinhaus [21] independently improved that idea. Several applications and generalizations of the statistical convergence of sequences have been investigated by several authors [1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 21, 22]. The main aim of the present paper is to introduce the concept of *statistical  $p_\tau$ -convergence* on lattice-normed locally solid Riesz spaces, which attracted the attention of several authors in a series of recent papers [1, 5, 6, 9].

The concept of the statistical convergence in Riesz spaces was introduced by Ercan [12], where the notion of the statistically  $u$ -uniformly convergent sequence was

introduced in Riesz spaces. Then Albayrak and Pehlivan extended the statistical convergence to locally solid Riesz spaces with respect to solid topology [1]. Recently, Aydın et al., have investigated some studies about the statistical convergence on Riesz spaces and locally solid Riesz spaces [5, 6, 9].

We now turn our attention to some basic notions which will be used in this paper. A real-valued vector space  $E$  with a partial order relation " $\leq$ " on  $E$  (i.e. it is an antisymmetric, reflexive and transitive relation) is called an *ordered vector space* whenever, for every  $x, y \in E$ , we have

- (a)  $x \leq y$  implies  $x + z \leq y + z$  for all  $z \in E$ ,
- (b)  $x \leq y$  implies  $\lambda x \leq \lambda y$  for every  $0 \leq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

An ordered vector space  $E$  is called a *Riesz space* or a *vector lattice* if, for any two vectors  $x, y \in E$ , the infimum  $x \wedge y = \inf\{x, y\}$  and the supremum  $x \vee y = \sup\{x, y\}$  exist in  $E$ . For an element  $x$  in a Riesz space  $E$ , the *positive part*, the *negative part*, and the *module* of  $x$  are

$$x^+ := x \vee 0, \quad x^- := (-x) \vee 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |x| := x \vee (-x),$$

respectively. In the present paper, the vertical bar  $|\cdot|$  of elements of Riesz spaces will stand for the module of the given elements.

By a *linear topology*  $\tau$  on a vector space  $X$ , we mean a topology  $\tau$  on  $X$  that makes the addition and the scalar multiplication continuous. A *topological vector space*  $(X, \tau)$  is a vector space  $X$  equipped with a linear topology  $\tau$ . A linear topology  $\tau$  on a vector space  $E$  has a base  $\mathcal{N}$  for the zero neighborhoods satisfying the following:

- (i) Each  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  is *balanced*, i.e.,  $\lambda V \subseteq V$  for all scalars  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ ;
- (ii) Every  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  is *absorbing*, i.e., for every element  $x$ , there exists a positive real  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $x \in \lambda V$ ;
- (iii) For each  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ , there is  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $V \subseteq V_1 \cap V_2$ ;
- (iv) For every  $V \in \mathcal{N}$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  with  $U + U \subseteq V$ ;
- (v) For any scalar  $\lambda$  and each  $V \in \mathcal{N}$ , the set  $\lambda V$  is also in  $\mathcal{N}$ .

In this article, unless otherwise stated, when we mention a zero neighborhood, it means that it always belongs to a base that holds the above properties. In this paper, neighborhoods of zero will often be referred to as *zero neighborhoods*.

A subset  $A$  of a vector lattice  $E$  is called *solid* if, for each  $x \in A$  and  $y \in E$  with  $|y| \leq |x|$  it holds  $y \in A$ . Let  $E$  be a Riesz space and  $\tau$  be a linear topology on it. Then the pair  $(E, \tau)$  is said to be a *locally solid Riesz space* if  $\tau$  has a base that consists of solid sets; for much more details on these notions, see [2, 3, 23].

**Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be a vector space and  $E$  be a Riesz space. Then  $p: X \rightarrow E_+$  is called an  *$E$ -valued vector norm* whenever it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $p(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ ;
- (2)  $p(\lambda x) = |\lambda|p(x)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (3)  $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Then the triple  $(X, p, E)$  is called a *lattice-normed space*, abbreviated as *LNS*. If, in addition,  $X$  is a Riesz space and the vector norm  $p$  is monotone (i.e.,  $|x| \leq |y| \Rightarrow p(x) \leq p(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in X$ ) then the triple  $(X, p, E)$  is called a *lattice-normed Riesz space*. We abbreviate it as *LNRS*. A subset  $Y \subseteq X$  is called *p-bounded* if there exists  $e \in E$  such that  $p(y) \leq e$  for all  $y \in Y$ . A sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  is called *p-convergent* to  $x \in X$  (or, shortly,  $x_n \xrightarrow{p} x$ ) whenever  $p(x_n - x) \xrightarrow{o} 0$  holds in  $E$ . We refer the reader for more information on *LNRSs* [11, 17, 18]. We shall keep in mind also the following examples.

*Example 1.* Let  $X$  be a normed space with a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Then  $(X, \|\cdot\|, \mathbb{R})$  is an *LNS*.

*Example 2.* Let  $X$  be a Riesz space. Then  $(X, |\cdot|, X)$  is an *LNRS*.

Now, we remind some basic properties of the concept related to the statistical convergence. Consider a set  $K$  of positive integers. Then the *natural density* of  $K$  is defined by

$$\delta(K) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : k \in K\}|,$$

where the vertical bar of sets will stand for the cardinality of the given sets. We refer the reader to an exposition on the natural density of sets [13, 14]. In the same way, a real sequence  $(x_n)$  is called *statistically convergent* to  $L$  provided that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} |\{n \leq m : |x_n - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Then  $(x_n)$  is said to be *statistically convergent* to  $x \in X$  whenever, for each neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , we have  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \notin U\}) = 0$ . On the other hand, a sequence  $(x_n)$  in a locally solid Riesz space  $(E, \tau)$  is *statistically  $\tau$ -convergent* to  $x \in E$  whenever we have  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : (x_n - x) \notin U\}) = 0$  for every zero neighborhood  $U$  [1, 5–10].

## 2. STATISTICALLY $p_\tau$ -CONVERGENCE

In this section, we introduce the statistically topological convergence on lattice-normed spaces. Also, we give some basic results about this concept.

**Definition 2.** Let  $(X, p, E)$  be an *LNRS*. If  $(E, \tau)$  is a locally solid Riesz space then  $(X, p, E_\tau)$  is called a *lattice-normed locally solid Riesz space*. We abbreviate it as *LNLS*.

**Definition 3.** Let  $(X, p, E_\tau)$  be an *LNLS* and  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Then  $(x_n)$  is said to be *statistically  $p_\tau$ -convergent* (*st- $p_\tau$ -convergent*, for short) to  $x$  if it is provided that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} |\{n \leq m : p(x_n - x) \notin U\}| = 0$$

holds for every zero neighborhood  $U$ . In this case, we write  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x$ .

Briefly, a sequence  $(x_n)$  is statistically  $p_\tau$ -convergent to  $x \in X$  if  $\delta(K_U) = 0$  for each zero neighborhood  $U$ , where  $K_U = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x) \notin U\}$ . Note that, in order to simplify the presentation, we take zero neighborhoods from a solid base because, for every zero neighborhood  $V$ , there exists a zero neighborhood solid set  $U$  such that  $U \subseteq V$ .

*Example 3.* Consider the *LNLS*  $(E, |\cdot|, E_\tau)$  for an arbitrary locally solid Riesz space  $(E, \tau)$ . Then statistically  $\tau$ - and  $p_\tau$ -convergence coincide.

*Example 4.* Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a lattice norm (i.e.,  $|x| \leq |y|$  implies  $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$ ) on a Riesz space  $X$ . Then, it follows from [2, Theorem 2.28] that the topology  $\tau$  on  $X$  generated by the norm is a solid topology. Moreover, the statistically norm convergence and  $p_\tau$ -convergence agree on the *LNLS*  $(X, |\cdot|, X_\tau)$ .

*Example 5.* Let's consider the *LNLS*  $(c, |\cdot|, c_\tau)$ , where  $c$  is the set of all convergent real sequences and  $\tau$  is the topology generated by the supremum norm on  $c$ . Take the sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $c$  such that  $x_n = (0, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, \dots)$  for each  $n$ . Now, consider the set of all zero neighborhoods  $\mathcal{N} := \{U_r : r \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ , where  $U_r$  is the set  $\{x \in c : \|x\|_\infty \leq r\}$ . Thus, it follows that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} 0$  in  $c$ . Indeed, fix an arbitrary zero neighborhood set  $U$  in  $c$ . Then, there exist some  $r > 0$  such that  $U_r \subseteq U$ . Consider the set

$$K_r = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - 0) \in U_r\} = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n\|_\infty = \frac{1}{n} < r \right\}.$$

It can be seen that  $\delta(K_r) = 1$ . Thus, we have  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} 0$ .

*Remark 1.* Let  $(X, p, E_\tau)$  be an *LNLS*. If  $|y| \leq |x|$  for any  $x, y \in X$ , then we have that  $p(y) \notin U$  implies  $p(x) \notin U$  for an arbitrary zero neighborhood  $U$ . Indeed, assume that  $p(y) \notin U$  and  $p(x) \in U$  hold. It follows from the solidness of  $U$  that we have  $p(y) \in U$  because  $|y| \leq |x|$  implies  $p(y) \leq p(x) \in U$ . So, there is a contradiction, and so, we have the desired result,  $p(x) \notin U$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $(X, p, E_\tau)$  be an LNLS. Then, the following statements hold:*

- (i) every  $p$ -convergent sequence is  $\text{st-}p_\tau$ -convergent;
- (ii) every order convergent sequence is  $\text{st-}p_\tau$ -convergent if order convergence implies  $p$ -convergence in  $X$ .

*Proof.*

- (i) Let  $x_n \xrightarrow{p} x$  in  $X$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $q_n \downarrow 0$  in  $E$  such that  $p(x_n - x) \leq q_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, take an arbitrary zero neighborhood  $U$  and arbitrary  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, there exists a positive integer  $k > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{k}q_m \in U$ , and so, we have  $\frac{1}{k}q_n \in U$  for all  $n \geq m$  because  $U$  is solid and

absorbing set. Now, take an arbitrary index  $n_k$  such that  $q_{n_k} \leq \frac{1}{k}q_m$ . Hence, we have  $q_n \in U$  for all  $n \geq n_k$ . So, we observe that

$$\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : q_n \notin U\}) = \delta(\{1, 2, \dots, n_k - 1\}) = 0.$$

It follows from the inequality  $p(x_n - x) \leq q_n$  for all  $n$  that we have  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x) \notin U\}) = 0$ , i.e.,  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x$ .

(ii) The proof is similar to the first part. □

**Theorem 1.** *The st- $p_\tau$ -limit is linear in LNLSs.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x$  and  $y_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} y$  in an LNLS  $(X, p, E_\tau)$ . For any zero neighborhood  $U$ , there exists another zero neighborhood  $V$  such that  $V + V \subseteq U$ . Then, we have  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x) \notin V\}) = 0$  and  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(y_n - y) \notin V\}) = 0$ . It follows from the following inequality

$$p(x_n + y_n - x - y) \leq p(x_n - x) + p(y_n - y) \in V + V \subseteq U$$

that if  $p(x_k - x) \in V$  and  $p(y_k - y) \in V$  for some indexes  $k$ , then  $p(x_k + y_k - x - y) \in U$ . Thus, we observe that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n + y_n - x - y) \notin U\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x) \notin V\} \cup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(y_n - y) \notin V\}$ , and so, we obtain  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n + y_n - x - y) \notin U\}) = 0$ . Therefore, we get the desired result, i.e.,  $x_n + y_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x + y$ .

Now, take a scalar  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|\alpha| \leq 1$  and an arbitrary zero neighborhood  $U$ . Then, it follows that  $\delta(K) = 1$ , where  $K = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x) \in U\}$ . Since  $U$  is a balanced set, by the equality

$$p(\alpha x_n - \alpha x) = |\alpha|p(x_n - x) \in |\alpha|U \subseteq U,$$

we get  $p(\alpha x_n - \alpha x) \in U$  for all  $n \in K$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x) \in U\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(\alpha x_n - \alpha x) \in U\},$$

and so, we have  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(\alpha x_n - \alpha x) \in U\}) = 1$ , i.e.,  $\alpha x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} \alpha x$ .

Consider the case  $|\alpha| > 1$ . Then, for a given zero neighborhood  $U$ , we have  $\frac{1}{|\alpha|}U \subseteq U$  because of the balanced property. Fix  $\gamma = \frac{1}{|\alpha|}$ . Since  $\gamma U$  is a solid zero neighborhood, there exists another zero neighborhood  $W$  such that  $W \subseteq \gamma U$ , and so, we have  $|\alpha|W \subseteq U$ . By the same consideration of the above part, it follows from

$$p(\alpha x_n - \alpha x) = |\alpha|p(x_n - x) \in |\alpha|W \subseteq U$$

that  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(\alpha x_n - \alpha x) \in U\}) = 1$ . So, we get  $\alpha x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} \alpha x$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . □

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(X, p, E_\tau)$  be an LNLS. Then,*

- (i) *if  $\tau$  is a Hausdorff solid topology, then the st- $p_\tau$ -limit is uniquely determined,*
- (ii) *the statistically  $p_\tau$ -version of the squeeze law holds.*

*Proof.*

- (i) Assume that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x_1$  and  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x_2$  and  $\tau$  has the Hausdorff property. Let  $U$  be a zero neighborhood in  $E$ . Then, there exists a zero neighborhood  $V$  satisfying  $V + V \subseteq U$ . Thus, we have  $\delta(K_1) = \delta(K_2) = 1$  for the sets  $K_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x_1) \in V\}$  and  $K_2 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x_2) \in V\}$ . It follows that

$$p(x_1 - x_2) \leq p(x_1 - x_n) + p(x_n - x_2) \in V + V \subseteq U$$

for every  $n \in K_1 \cap K_2$ . Then, by the solidness of  $U$ ,  $p(x_1 - x_2) \in U$  holds. So, we have  $p(x_1 - x_2) \in U$  for every zero neighborhood. Since the intersection of all zero neighborhoods in a Hausdorff space is zero, we obtain  $p(x_1 - x_2) = 0$ , i.e.,  $x_1 = x_2$ .

- (ii) Suppose that  $x_n \leq y_n \leq z_n$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} w$  and  $z_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} w$ . Take an arbitrary zero neighborhood  $U$ , and so, there exists another zero neighborhood  $V$  such that  $V + V \subseteq U$ . Hence, we have  $\delta(K_1) = \delta(K_2) = 1$ , where  $K_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - w) \in V\}$  and  $K_2 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(z_n - w) \in V\}$ . By the inequality  $x_n \leq y_n \leq z_n$ , we have  $x_n - w \leq y_n - w \leq z_n - w$ , and so,  $|y_n - w| \leq |x_n - w| + |z_n - w|$  holds for all  $n$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$p(y_n - w) \leq p(x_n - w) + p(z_n - w) \in V + V \subseteq U$$

for every  $n \in K_1 \cap K_2$ . Thus, we obtain  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(y_n - w) \in U\}) = 1$ . So, we get the desired result,  $y_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} w$ .

□

**Definition 4.** Let  $(X, p, E_\tau)$  be an LNLS and  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Then,  $(x_n)$  is said to be *statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded* if, for every zero neighborhood  $U$ , there exist some  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n) \notin \lambda U\}) = 0.$$

*Remark 2.*

- (i) Every  $p$ -bounded sequence is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded. Indeed, let  $(x_n)$  be a  $p$ -bounded sequence in an LNLS  $(X, p, E_\tau)$ . It follows from [2, Theorem 2.19 (1)] that  $p(x_n)$  is topologically bounded in  $E$  because it is order bounded in  $E$ . So, for each zero neighborhood  $U$ , there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $p(x_n) \in \lambda U$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $(x_n)$  is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded.
- (ii) Let  $(X, p, E_\tau)$  be an LNLS and  $(x_n)$  be an order bounded sequence in  $X$ . Then,  $(x_n)$  is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded. Indeed, since  $(x_n)$  is order bounded, there exists  $x \in X_+$  such that  $|x_n| \leq x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows from the monotonicity of  $p$  that  $p(x_n) \leq p(x)$  for all  $n$ , i.e.,  $p(x_n)$  is order bounded in  $E$ . Thus, by applying [2, Theorem 2.19 (1)],  $p(x_n)$  is topologically bounded in  $E$ , and so, it is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded.

**Proposition 2.** *Every st- $p_\tau$ -convergent sequence is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded.*

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be an  $st$ - $p_\tau$ -convergent sequence to  $x$  in an LNLS  $(X, p, E_\tau)$ . Take an arbitrary zero neighborhood  $U$  with another zero neighborhood  $V$  such that  $V + V \subseteq U$ . Then, we have  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x) \notin V\}) = 0$ . On the other hand, by using the absorbing property of  $V$ , there exists a positive scalar  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda p(x) \in V$ . Choose  $r := \min\{1, \lambda\}$ . Then, we have  $rp(x) \in V$  because of  $rp(x) \leq \lambda p(x)$ . Therefore, if  $p(x_n - x) \in V$ , then  $rp(x_n - x) \in V$  by the balancing property of  $V$ . It follows from the inequality  $rp(x_n) \leq rp(x_n - x) + rp(x) \in V + V \subseteq U$  that we obtain  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : rp(x_n) \notin U\}) = 0$ , i.e.,  $(x_n)$  is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded.  $\square$

### 3. STATISTICAL $p_\tau$ -DENSITY AND $p_\tau$ -LIMIT POINTS

Recall that a sublattice  $Y$  of a Riesz space  $X$  is called

- (-) *dense with respect to order convergence* if every vector in  $X$  is the order limit of a net in  $Y$ ,
- (-) *order dense* whenever for each  $0 < x \in X$  there exists some  $y \in Y$  with  $0 < y \leq x$ .

Motivated by these definitions, we give the following notions.

**Definition 5.** Let  $(X, p, E_\tau)$  be an LNLS. A sublattice  $Y \subseteq X$  is called

- (i) *statistically  $p_\tau$ -dense* in  $X$  with respect to  $st$ - $p_\tau$ -convergence whenever for all  $x \in X$  there exists a non zero sequence  $(y_n)$  in  $Y$  such that  $y_n \xrightarrow{st-p_\tau} x$ ;
- (ii)  *$p_\tau$ -dense* in  $X$  with respect to  $st$ - $p_\tau$ -boundedness if, for any  $x \in X$ , there exists a non zero sequence  $(y_n)$  in  $Y$  such that the sequence  $(x - y_n)$  is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded.

It is clear from Proposition 2 that statistical  $p_\tau$ -density implies  $p_\tau$ -density. But, the converse need not hold in general. To see this, consider the following example.

*Example 6.* Let  $X$  be the set of real-valued bounded functions on  $[0, 1]$  denoted in the form  $f := g + h$ , where  $g$  is continuous and  $h$  vanishes except at finitely many points. Then,  $(X, |\cdot|, X_\tau)$  is an LNLS, where  $\tau$  is the topology generated by supremum norm on  $X$ . Then, the sublattice  $Y := C[0, 1]$ , all continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$ , is statistically  $p_\tau$ -dense in  $X$ . Indeed, it is clear that, for any  $f \in X$ , there exists a sequence  $(g_n)$  in  $Y$  such that  $g_n \xrightarrow{o} f$ . It follows from [4, Remark 2.2 (2)] and Proposition 1(ii) that we obtain  $g_n \xrightarrow{st-p_\tau} f$ . On the other hand, we observe that  $Y$  is not  $p_\tau$ -dense in  $X$  because, for the characteristic function  $\chi_{\{\frac{1}{3}\}}$  in  $X$ , there is not any sequence  $(g_n)$  in  $Y$  such that  $(f - g_n)$  is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $Y$  be a sublattice of a locally solid Riesz space  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $Y$  is  $p_\tau$ -dense in LNLS  $(X, |\cdot|, X_\tau)$ , then  $Y$  is order dense.

*Proof.* Take a positive nonzero element  $0 \neq x \in X_+$ . Then, there is a sequence  $(y_n)$  in  $Y$  such that  $(y_n - \frac{1}{2}x)$  is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded. Thus, for an arbitrary zero neighborhood  $U$ , there exist some  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda|y_n - \frac{1}{2}x| \in U\}) = 1$ .

Take  $u \in U$  such that  $|y_m - \frac{1}{2}x| = \frac{1}{\lambda}u \leq \frac{1}{3}x$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, it follows that  $0 < y_m \leq x$ , and so,  $Y$  is order dense in  $X$ .  $\square$

*Example 7.* Let  $Y$  be the Riesz space  $c_0$  of all convergent to zero real sequences and  $X$  be the Riesz space  $\ell_\infty$  of all bounded real sequences. Then, for the LNLS  $(\ell_\infty, |\cdot|, \ell_\infty)$ ,  $Y$  is order bounded in  $X$ . But, it is not  $p_\tau$ -dense in  $X$ .

Now, we turn our attention to statistical  $p_\tau$ -limit and  $p_\tau$ -cluster points. The following notions are  $p_\tau$ -versions of classical statistical points [10, 14].

**Definition 6.** Let  $(X, p, E_\tau)$  be an LNLS. Then, a point  $x \in X$  is called

- (1) an *st- $p_\tau$ -limit point* of a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  whenever there is an index set  $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\delta(K) > 0$  and  $p(x_{k_n} - x) \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ .
- (2) an *st- $p_\tau$ -cluster point* of a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  if, for each zero neighborhood  $U$ , we have  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x) \in U\}) > 0$ .

For a sequence  $(x_n)$  in a space  $X$ , let  $\Lambda_p(x_n)$  denote the set of all *st- $p_\tau$ -limit points* of  $(x_n)$ , and let  $\Theta_p(x_n)$  denote the set of all *st- $p_\tau$ -cluster points* of  $(x_n)$ .

*Example 8.* Let  $(E, |\cdot|, E_\tau)$  be an LNLS and  $x, y \in E$ . Then, take a sequence  $(x_n)$  denoted by  $x_n := x$  if  $n$  is a square and  $x_n := y$  otherwise. Thus, it is clear that  $\Lambda_p(x_n) = \Theta_p(x_n) = \{y\}$ .

**Theorem 3.** For an LNLS  $(X, p, E_\tau)$  and sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$ ,  $\Lambda_p(x_n) \subseteq \Theta_p(x_n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \Lambda_p(x_n)$  and  $p(x_{n_k} - x) \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$  holds on an index set  $K$  such that  $\delta(K) = \lambda > 0$ . Fix a zero neighborhood  $U$  in  $E$ . Then, it follows from  $p(x_{n_k} - x) \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$  that there exists an  $n_j \in K$  such that  $p(x_{n_k} - x) \in U$  for  $n_j \leq n_k \in K$ . Also, we can easily observe the following subset

$$\{n_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \setminus \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{j-1}\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x) \in U\}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x) \in U\}) \geq \lambda > 0$$

Then, we get  $x \in \Theta_p(x_n)$  because  $U$  is arbitrary.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  be two sequences in an LNLS  $(X, p, E_\tau)$ . If the natural density of  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \neq y_n\}$  is zero, then  $\Theta_p(x_n) = \Theta_p(y_n)$  and  $\Lambda_p(x_n) = \Lambda_p(y_n)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $w \in \Theta_p(x_n)$  and  $U$  is an arbitrary zero neighborhood. Thus, we have  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - w) \in U\}) > 0$ . It follows from the subset

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - w) \in U\} \setminus \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \neq y_n\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(y_n - w) \in U\}$$

that we obtain  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(y_n - w) \in U\}) > 0$ . Therefore, we get  $w \in \Theta_p(y_n)$ . In a similar way, one proves  $\Theta_p(y_n) \subseteq \Theta_p(x_n)$ . Hence, we get the desired result,  $\Theta_p(x_n) = \Theta_p(y_n)$ .

The equality  $\Lambda_p(x_n) = \Lambda_p(y_n)$  can be obtained similarly.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in an LNLS  $(X, p, E_\tau)$  and  $F$  be a compact subset of  $E$  satisfying  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n) \in F\}) > 0$ . Then, we have  $p(\Theta_p(x_n)) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $p(\Theta_p(x_n)) \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then, for every  $w \in X$  such that  $p(w) \in F$ , we have  $w \notin \Theta_p(x_n)$ . Thus, there is a zero neighborhood  $U_w$  such that  $\delta(K_w) = 0$ , where  $K_w := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - w) \in U_w\}$ . On the other hand, consider an open cover  $\{U_w : p(w) \in F\}$  of  $F$ . Then, we have a finite subcover  $U_{w_1}, U_{w_2}, \dots, U_{w_j}$  of  $F$ . So, it follows from

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - w) \in F\} \subseteq K_{w_1} \cup K_{w_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{w_j}$$

that  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - w) \in F\}) = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

#### 4. STATISTICALLY $p_\tau$ -CONTINUOUS OPERATOR

In this section, we introduce continuous and bounded operators with respect to the statistical  $p_\tau$ -convergence. Recall that an operator  $T$  between LNS  $(X, p, E)$  and  $(Y, q, F)$  is called

- $p$ -continuous whenever  $x_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$  in  $X$  implies  $T(x_n) \xrightarrow{p} 0$  in  $Y$ ,
- $p$ -bounded if it maps  $p$ -bounded sets in  $X$  to  $p$ -bounded sets in  $Y$ .

Motivated by these definitions, we introduce the following notions.

**Definition 7.** Let  $T : (X, p, E_\tau) \rightarrow (Y, q, F_\tau)$  be an operator between LNLSs. Then,  $T$  is said to be

- (1) *statistically  $p_\tau$ -continuous* if  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x$  in  $X$  implies  $T(x_n) \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} T(x)$  in  $Y$ ,
- (2) *statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded* if it sends statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded sequences to statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded sequences.

It is clear that the collection of all statistically  $p_\tau$ -continuous operators between LNLSs is a vector space.

*Example 9.* Consider the LNLS  $(c_{00}, |\cdot|, \ell_\infty)$ , where the solid topology on  $\ell_\infty$  is generated by the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Define an operator  $S : (c_{00}, |\cdot|, \ell_\infty) \rightarrow (c_{00}, |\cdot|, c_{00})$  denoted by

$$S(x) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| \right) x$$

for all  $x := (x_n) \in c_{00}$ . Consider a sequence  $x = (x_n^k) = (x_1^k, x_2^k, \dots)$  in  $c_{00}$  denoted by  $x_n^k = (x_n^1, x_n^2, \dots) = (1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$  in  $c_{00}$ . Thus, it is order bounded by the element  $(1) = (1, 1, \dots)$  in  $\ell_\infty$ , and so, it is topological bounded in  $\ell_\infty$  by applying [2, Theorem 2.19]. Hence, it is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded in  $c_{00}$ . Then, it follows that

$$S(x) = (1x_1^k, 2x_2^k, 3x_3^k, \dots, nx_n^k, \dots)$$

is not bounded in  $c_{00}$ , and so,  $S$  is not a statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded operator.

**Theorem 6.** *If an operator  $(E, |\cdot|, E_\tau) \rightarrow (F, |\cdot|, F_\tau)$  between LNLSs is uniformly continuous, then it is statistically  $p_\tau$ -continuous.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $T: E \rightarrow F$  is a uniformly continuous operator. Then, we show that  $T: (E, |\cdot|, E_\tau) \rightarrow (F, |\cdot|, F_\tau)$  is statistically  $p_\tau$ -continuous. Let  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x$  in  $E$ . Take any fixed zero neighborhood  $V$  in  $F$ . Now, by applying uniform continuity of  $T$ , we have some zero neighborhood  $U$  in  $E$  so that  $(a-b) \in U$  implies  $T(a-b) \in V$ . On the other hand, by  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x$ , we have  $\delta(K) = 1$ , where  $K = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : (x_n - x) \in U\}$ . Also, we have  $T(x_n - x) \in V$  for every  $n \in K$ . Then, it follows that  $K \subseteq M := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : T(x_n - x) \in V\}$ , and so, we obtain  $\delta(M) = 1$ . Therefore,  $T(x_n) \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} T(x)$ .  $\square$

It is well known that every order continuous operator is order bounded; see [3, Lemma 1.54]. But, a statistically  $p_\tau$ -continuity as an operator between two Riesz spaces need not be order bounded. To see this, we consider Lozanovsky's example; see [3, Exercise 10. p.289].

*Example 10.* Take an operator  $T: L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow c_0$  defined by

$$T(f) = \left( \int_0^1 f(x) \sin x \, dx, \int_0^1 f(x) \sin 2x \, dx, \dots \right).$$

Then,  $T$  is not order bounded. But, it can be shown that  $T$  is norm continuous. Therefore, by applying Theorem 6, the operator  $T: (L_1[0, 1], |\cdot|, L_1[0, 1]) \rightarrow (c_0, |\cdot|, c_0)$  is statistically  $p_\tau$ -continuous because the continuity and the uniform continuity are equivalent for operators between normed spaces.

**Proposition 4.** *Every  $p$ -bounded operator from an LNLS to another LNLS with an order bounded zero neighborhood is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $p$ -bounded operator. We show that

$$T: (X, p, E_\tau) \rightarrow (Y, q, F_\tau)$$

is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded. Let  $(x_n)$  be a statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded sequence in  $X$ . Fix an arbitrary zero neighborhood  $U$  in  $E$ . Then, there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\delta(K) = 1$ , where  $K := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n) \in \lambda U\}$ . Then, it follows from [15, Theorem 2.2] that the set  $\{p(x_n) : n \in K\}$  is order bounded in  $E$ , and so,  $\{x_n : n \in K\}$  is  $p$ -bounded in  $X$ . By applying  $p$ -boundedness of  $T$ ,  $\{T(x_n) : n \in K\}$  is  $p$ -bounded in  $Y$ , i.e.,  $\{q(T(x_n)) : n \in K\}$  is order bounded in  $F$ . Thus, it follows from [2, Theorem 2.19] that  $\{q(T(x_n)) : n \in K\}$  is topologically bounded in  $F$ , and so,  $T(x_n)$  is statistically  $p_\tau$  bounded because of  $\delta(K) = 1$ . Therefore, we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** *A statistically  $p_\tau$ -continuous operator between LNLSs is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded.*

*Proof.* Let  $T: (X, p, E_\tau) \rightarrow (Y, q, F_\tau)$  be a statistically  $p_\tau$ -continuous operator and  $(x_n)$  be a statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded sequence in  $X$ . Take a zero neighborhood  $U$  in

$E$ . Then, there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\delta(K) = 1$  for the set  $K = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n) \in \lambda U\}$ . Let's consider an index set  $M := \mathbb{N} \times K$  with the lexicographic order. That is,  $(m, k') \leq (n, k)$  iff  $m < n$  or else  $m = n$  and  $k' \leq k$ . Take the sequence  $x_{(n,k)} = \frac{1}{\lambda n} x_k$ . Thus, we have  $p(x_{(n,k)}) = \frac{1}{\lambda n} p(x_k)$ , and so, we get  $x_{(n,k)} \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} 0$  because  $U$  is arbitrary and  $\frac{1}{\lambda n} p(x_k) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} p(x_k)$  for every  $n$ . By using the statistically  $p_\tau$ -continuity of  $T$ , we obtain  $T(x_{(n,k)}) \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} 0$ . It follows from Proposition 2 that  $T(x_{(n,k)})$  is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded sequence in  $Y$ . Now, take an arbitrary zero neighborhood  $W$  in  $F$ . Then, for a fixed  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\delta(J) = 0$ , where

$$J = \left\{ k \in K : q(T(x_{n_0,k})) = \frac{1}{\lambda n_0} q(T(x_k)) \notin \alpha U \right\} = \{k \in K : q(T(x_k)) \in \alpha \lambda n_0 \notin U\}.$$

Therefore, we get  $T(x_n)$  is statistically  $p_\tau$ -bounded. □

The lattice operations in an LNLS are statistically  $p_\tau$ -continuous in the following sense.

**Theorem 8.** *If  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x$  and  $y_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} y$  in an LNLS, then  $x_n \vee y_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x \vee y$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x$  and  $y_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} y$  in an LNLS  $(X, p, E_\tau)$  and  $U$  be an arbitrary zero neighborhood in  $E$  with another zero neighborhood  $V$  such that  $V + V \subseteq U$ . Then, we have  $\delta(K) = \delta(M) = 1$  for the sets

$$K = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n - x) \in V\} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(y_n - y) \in V\}.$$

Take an index set  $J := K \cap M$ . Then, it follows from [19, Theorem 12.4] that we observe

$$p(x_n \vee y_n - x \vee y) \leq p(x_n - x) + p(y_n - y) \in V + V \subseteq U$$

for all  $n \in J$ . Then, by using the solidness of  $U$ , we have  $p(x_n \vee y_n - x \vee y) \in U$  for all  $n \in J$ . Thus, we get  $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p(x_n \vee y_n - x \vee y) \in U\}) = 1$ . As a result, we have  $x_n \vee y_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x \vee y$ . □

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x$  and  $y_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} y$  hold in an LNLS. Then, we have the following statements:*

- (i)  $x_n^+ \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x^+$ ;
- (ii)  $x_n^- \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x^-$ ;
- (iii)  $|x_n| \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} |x|$ ;
- (iv)  $x_n \wedge y_n \xrightarrow{\text{st-}p_\tau} x \wedge y$ .

## REFERENCES

[1] H. Albayrak and S. Pehlivan, "Statistical convergence and statistical continuity on locally solid Riesz spaces," *Top. App.*, vol. 159, no. 7, pp. 1887–1893, 2012, doi: [10.1016/j.topol.2011.04.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2011.04.026).

- [2] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Locally solid Riesz spaces with applications to economics*. New York: American Mathematical Soc., 2003, no. 105.
- [3] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive operators.*, (Reprint of the 1985 original.) ed. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006, vol. 119.
- [4] A. Aydın, E. Emelyanov, N. Erkurşun-Özcan, and M. Marabeh, “Unbounded  $p$ -convergence in lattice-normed vector lattices,” *Siberian Adv. Math.*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 164–182, 2019, doi: [10.3103/S1055134419030027](https://doi.org/10.3103/S1055134419030027).
- [5] A. Aydın, “The statistically unbounded  $\tau$ -convergence on locally solid Riesz spaces,” *Turkish J. Math.*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 949–956, 2020, doi: [10.3906/mat-1912-37](https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1912-37).
- [6] A. Aydın, “The statistical multiplicative order convergence in Riesz algebras,” *Facta Uni. Ser.: Math. Infor.*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 409–417, 2021, doi: [10.22190/FUMI200916030A](https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI200916030A).
- [7] A. Aydın, “Statistical unbounded order convergence in Riesz spaces,” *Facta Uni. Ser.: Math. Infor.*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 585–593, 2022, doi: [10.22190/FUMI211013040A](https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI211013040A).
- [8] A. Aydın, “The statistical multiplicative order convergence in Riesz algebras,” *to appear Miskolc Math. Not.*, 2023.
- [9] A. Aydın and M. Et, “Statistically multiplicative convergence on locally solid Riesz algebras,” *Turkish J. Math.*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 1506–1516, 2021, doi: [10.3906/mat-2102-20](https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-2102-20).
- [10] G. Di Maio and L. D. R. Kočinac, “Statistical convergence in topology.” *Top. App.*, vol. 156, no. 1, pp. 28–45, 2008, doi: [10.1016/j.topol.2008.01.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2008.01.015).
- [11] È. Y. Emel’yanov, “Invariant homomorphisms of nonstandard enlargements of Boolean algebras and vector lattices,” *Siberian Adv. Math.*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 244–252, 1997, doi: [10.3103/S1055134419030027](https://doi.org/10.3103/S1055134419030027).
- [12] Z. Ercan, “A characterization of  $u$ -uniformly completeness of Riesz spaces in terms of statistical  $u$ -uniformly pre-completeness,” *Demons. Math.*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 381–386, 2009, doi: [10.1515/dema-2009-0215](https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2009-0215).
- [13] H. Fast, “Sur la convergence statistique,” vol. 2, no. 3, 1951, pp. 241–244.
- [14] J. A. Fridy, “On statistical convergence,” *Analysis*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 301–314, 1985, doi: [10.1524/anly.1985.5.4.301](https://doi.org/10.1524/anly.1985.5.4.301).
- [15] L. Hong, “On order bounded subsets of locally solid Riesz spaces.” *Quaest. Math.*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 381–389, 2016, doi: [10.2989/16073606.2015.1070380](https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2015.1070380).
- [16] J. M. Hyslop, “A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series (Cambridge University Press, 1959) Two Volumes. Volume I, xii+ 383 pp., Volume II, vii+ 354 pp., 84s. per volume..” *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 57–58, 1960.
- [17] A. G. Kusraev and S. S. Kutateladze, *Boolean valued analysis. Transl. from the Russian.*, ser. Math. Appl., Dordr. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999, vol. 494.
- [18] A. G. Kusraev, “Dominated operators (Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 519, Kluwer, 2000), xiii+ 446 pp., 0 792 36485 6 (hardback),£ 120,” *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 665–666, 2001.
- [19] W. A. J. Luxemburg and A. C. Zaanen, “Riesz spaces. Vol. I. North-Holland Mathematical Library. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London,” 1971.
- [20] F. Riesz, “Sur la décomposition des opérations fonctionnelles linéaires,” in *Atti del Congresso Internazionale dei Matematici, 1928*, vol. 3, 1928, pp. 143–148.
- [21] H. Steinhaus, “Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique.” vol. 2, no. 1, 1951, pp. 301–314.
- [22] B. C. Tripathy, “On statistically convergent sequences.” *Bul. Cal. Math. Soc.*, vol. 90, no. 4, pp. 259–262, 1998, doi: [10.1524/anly.1985.5.4.301](https://doi.org/10.1524/anly.1985.5.4.301).
- [23] A. C. Zaanen, *Riesz spaces II.*, ser. North-Holland Math. Libr. Elsevier (North-Holland), Amsterdam, 1983, vol. 30.

*Authors' addresses***Abdullah Aydın**

(**Corresponding author**) Department of Mathematics, Muş Alparslan University, Muş, Turkey

*E-mail address:* a.aydin@alparslan.edu.tr

**Hatice Ünlü Eroğlu**

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey

*E-mail address:* hueroglu@erbakan.edu.tr