

ON FINITELY G-SUPPLEMENTED MODULES

CELIL NEBIYEV AND HASAN HÜSEYIN ÖKTEN

Received 17 September, 2022

Abstract. In this work, some properties of finitely g-supplemented modules are investigated. Let M be a finitely g-supplemented R-module and N be a finitely generated or small submodule of M. Then M/N is finitely g-supplemented. Let $f: M \longrightarrow N$ be an R-module epimomorphism with small kernel. If M is finitely g-supplemented, then N is also finitely g-supplemented. Let M be a finitely g-supplemented module, $Rad_gM \le U \le M$ and U be finitely generated. Then U/Rad_gM is a direct summand of M/Rad_gM .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D10; 16D70

Keywords: small submodules, essential submodules, g-small submodules, f-supplemented modules

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with identity and all modules are unital left modules.

Let *R* be a ring and *M* be an *R*-module. We denote a submodule *N* of *M* by $N \le M$. Let M be an R-module and $N \le M$. If L = M for every submodule L of M such that M = N + L, then N is called a *small* (or *superfluous*) submodule of M and denoted by $N \ll M$. A submodule N of an R -module M is called an *essential* submodule, denoted by $N \leq M$, in case $K \cap N \neq 0$ for every submodule $K \neq 0$, or equivalently, $N \cap L = 0$ for $L \leq M$ implies that L = 0. Let M be an R-module and K be a submodule of M. K is called a *generalized small* (briefly, *g-small*) submodule of *M* if for every essential submodule T of M with the property M = K + T implies that T = M, we denote this by $K \ll_g M$ (in [6], it is called an *e-small* submodule of M and denoted by $K \ll_e M$). Let *M* be an *R*-module and $U, V \leq M$. If M = U + V and *V* is minimal with respect to this property, or equivalently, M = U + V and $U \cap V \ll V$, then V is called a supplement of U in M. M is said to be supplemented if every submodule of M has a supplement in M. M is said to be *finitely supplemented* (briefly, *f-supplemented*) if every finitely generated submodule of M has a supplement in M. Let M be an *R*-module and $U, V \le M$. If M = U + V and M = U + T with $T \le V$ implies that T = V© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Miskolc University Press. This is an open access article under the license CC BY 4.0.

V, or equivalently, M = U + V and $U \cap V \ll_g V$, then V is called a *g-supplement* of U in M. M is said to be *g-supplemented* if every submodule of M has a g-supplement in M. The intersection of maximal submodules of an R-module M is called the *radical* of M and denoted by RadM. If M have no maximal submodules, then we denote RadM = M. The intersection of essential maximal submodules of an R-module M is called a *generalized radical* (briefly, *g-radical*) of M and denoted by Rad_gM (in [6], it is denoted by Rad_eM). If M have no essential maximal submodules, then we denote Rad_gM = M. An R-module M is said to be *noetherian* if every submodule of M is finitely generated. Let M be an R-module and $K \le V \le M$. We say V lies above K in M if $V/K \ll M/K$.

More details about supplemented modules are in [1, 5]. More informations about g-small submodules and g-supplemented modules are in [2, 3].

Lemma 1. Let *M* be an *R*-module and $K, N \leq M$. Consider the following conditions.

- (1) If $K \le N$ and N is generalized small submodule of M, then K is a generalized small submodule of M.
- (2) If K is contained in N and a generalized small submodule of N, then K is a generalized small submodule in submodules of M which contain N.
- (3) If $K \ll_g L$ and $N \ll_g T$ with $L, T \leq M$, then $K + N \ll_g L + T$.
- (4) $\operatorname{Rad}_{g}M = \sum_{L \ll_{g}M} L.$
- (5) Let T be an R-module and $f: M \to T$ be an R-module homomorphism. If $K \ll_g M$, then $f(K) \ll_g T$. Here $f(Rad_g M) \leq Rad_g T$.

Proof. See [3, Lemma 1 and Lemma 3].

2. FINITELY G-SUPPLEMENTED MODULES

Definition 1. Let M be an R-module. If every finitely generated submodule of M has a g-supplement in M, then M is called a finitely g-supplemented (or briefly fg-supplemented) module. (See also [4])

Clearly we can see that every f-supplemented module is fg-supplemented.

Proposition 1. Every g-supplemented module is fg-supplemented.

Proof. Clear from definitions.

Proposition 2. Let M be a fg-supplemented R-module. If M is noetherian, then M is g-supplemented.

Proof. Let $U \le M$. Since M is noetherian, U is finitely generated and since M is fg-supplemented, U has a g-supplement in M. Hence M is g-supplemented.

Lemma 2. Let M be a fg-supplemented R-module and N be a finitely generated submodule of M. Then M/N is fg-supplemented.

Proof. Let U/N be a finitely generated submodule of M/N. Since U/N finitely generated, there exists a finitely generated submodule K of M such that U = K + N. Since K and N are finitely generated, U = K + N is also finitely generated. By hypothesis, U has a g-supplement V in M. Then by [2, Lemma 4], (V+N)/N is a g-supplement of U/N in M/N. Hence M/N is fg-supplemented.

Corollary 1. Let M be a fg-supplemented R-module and N be a cyclic submodule of M. Then M/N is fg-supplemented.

Proof. Clear from Lemma 2.

Corollary 2. Let $f: M \longrightarrow N$ be an R-module epimomorphism and Kef be finitely generated. If M is fg-supplemented, then N is also fg-supplemented.

Proof. Since *M* is fg-supplemented and *Kef* is finitely generated, by Lemma 2, M/Kef is fg-supplemented. Then by $M/Kef \cong N$, *N* is also fg-supplemented. \Box

Corollary 3. Let $f : M \longrightarrow N$ be an R-module epimomorphism with cyclic kernel. If M is fg-supplemented, then N is also fg-supplemented.

Proof. Clear from Corollary 2.

Lemma 3. Let M be an fg-supplemented module, $Rad_gM \le U \le M$ and U be finitely generated. Then U/Rad_gM is a direct summand of M/Rad_gM .

Proof. Since *M* is fg-supplemented and *U* is a finitely generated submodule of *M*, *U* has a g-supplement *V* in *M*. Here M = U + V and $U \cap V \ll_g V$. By Lemma 1, $U \cap V \leq Rad_g M$. Then $\frac{M}{Rad_g M} = \frac{U+V}{Rad_g M} = \frac{U}{Rad_g M} + \frac{V+Rad_g M}{Rad_g M}$ and $\frac{U}{Rad_g M} \cap \frac{V+Rad_g M}{Rad_g M} = \frac{U \cap V+Rad_g M}{Rad_g M} = \frac{Rad_g M}{Rad_g M} = 0$. Hence $\frac{M}{Rad_g M} = \frac{U}{Rad_g M} \oplus \frac{V+Rad_g M}{Rad_g M}$ and $U/Rad_g M$ is a direct summand of $M/Rad_g M$.

Corollary 4. Let M be a fg-supplemented module and Rad_gM be finitely generated. Then every finitely generated submodule of M/Rad_gM is a direct summand of M/Rad_gM .

Proof. Let U/Rad_gM be a finitely generated submodule of M/Rad_gM . Then there exists a finitely generated submodule K of M such that $U = K + Rad_gM$. Since K and Rad_gM are finitely generated, $U = K + Rad_gM$ is also finitely generated. Then by Lemma 3, U/Rad_gM is a direct summand of M/Rad_gM .

Lemma 4. Let M be a fg-supplemented R-module and $N \ll M$. Then M/N is fg-supplemented.

Proof. Let U/N be a finitely generated submodule of M/N. Then there exists a finitely generated submodule K of M such that U = K + N. Since M is fg-supplemented, K has a g-supplement V in M. Here M = K + V and $K \cap V \ll_g V$. Since $K \le U$, M = K + V = U + V. Let M = U + T with $T \trianglelefteq V$. Then M = U + T = K + N + T and

since $N \ll M$, K + T = M. Since V is a g-supplement of K in M and $T \leq V$, by definition, T = V. Hence V is a g-supplement of U in M. By [2, Lemma 4], (V + N)/N is a g-supplement of U/N in M/N. Hence M/N is fg-supplemented.

Corollary 5. Let $f : M \longrightarrow N$ be an R-module epimomorphism with small kernel. If M is fg-supplemented, then N is also fg-supplemented.

Proof. Since *M* is fg-supplemented and $Kef \ll M$, by Lemma 4, M/Kef is fg-supplemented. Then by $M/Kef \cong N$, *N* is also fg-supplemented.

Lemma 5. Let M be a fg-supplemented R-module and $Rad_g M \ll M$. Then every finitely generated submodule of $M/Rad_g M$ is a direct summand of $M/Rad_g M$.

Proof. Let U/Rad_gM be a finitely generated submodule of M/Rad_gM . Then there exists a finitely generated submodule K of M such that $U = K + Rad_gM$. Since M is fg-supplemented, K has a g-supplement V in M. Here M = K + V and $K \cap V \ll_g V$. Since $K \leq U$, M = K + V = U + V. Let M = U + T with $T \leq V$. Then $M = U + T = K + Rad_gM + T$ and since $Rad_gM \ll M$, K + T = M. Since V is a g-supplement of K in M and $T \leq V$, by definition, T = V. Hence V is a g-supplement of U in M. Here M = U + V and $U \cap V \ll_g V$. By Lemma 1, $U \cap V \leq Rad_gM$. Then $\frac{M}{Rad_gM} = \frac{U + V}{Rad_gM} = \frac{U}{Rad_gM} + \frac{V + Rad_gM}{Rad_gM}$ and $\frac{U}{Rad_gM} \cap \frac{V + Rad_gM}{Rad_gM} = \frac{U \cap V + Rad_gM}{Rad_gM} = \frac{Rad_gM}{Rad_gM} = 0$. Hence $\frac{M}{Rad_gM} = \frac{U}{Rad_gM} \oplus \frac{V + Rad_gM}{Rad_gM}$ and U/Rad_gM is a direct summand of M/Rad_gM .

Corollary 6. Let M be a fg-supplemented R-module and $Rad_gM \ll M$. Then every finitely generated submodule of M/RadM is a direct summand of M/RadM.

Proof. Since $Rad_g M \ll M$, $RadM = Rad_g M$. Then by Lemma 5, every finitely generated submodule of M/RadM is a direct summand of M/RadM.

REFERENCES

- J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja, and R. Wisbauer, *Lifting modules. Supplements and projectivity in module theory.*, ser. Front. Math. Basel: Birkhäuser, 2006.
- [2] B. Koşar, C. Nebiyev, and N. Sökmez, "g-supplemented modules," Ukr. Math. J., vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 975–980, 2015, doi: 10.1007/s11253-015-1127-8.
- [3] B. Koşar, C. Nebiyev, and A. Pekin, "A generalization of g-supplemented modules," *Miskolc Math. Notes*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 345–352, 2019, doi: 10.18514/MMN.2019.2586.
- [4] C. Nebiyev and H. H. Ökten, "Finitely g-supplemented modules," in 3rd International E-Conference on Mathematical Advances and Applications ICOMAA-2020, 2020.
- [5] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of module and ring theory. A handbook for study and research., revised and updated Engl. ed. ed., ser. Algebra Log. Appl. Philadelphia etc.: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1991, vol. 3.
- [6] D. X. Zhou and X. R. Zhang, "Small-essential submodules and Morita duality." Southeast Asian Bull. Math., vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 1051–1062, 2011.

968

Authors' addresses

Celil Nebiyev

Ondokuz Mayıs University, Department of Mathematics, Kurupelit-Atakum, Samsun, TURKEY *E-mail address:* cnebiyev@omu.edu.tr

Hasan Hüseyin Ökten

(Corresponding author) Amasya University, Technical Sciences Vocational School, Amasya, Turkey

E-mail address: hokten@gmail.com