

ON NON-OSCILLATION FOR TWO DIMENSIONAL SYSTEMS OF NON-LINEAR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

ZDENĚK OPLUŠTIL

Received 23 September, 2022

Abstract. The paper studies the non-oscillatory properties of two-dimensional systems of nonlinear differential equations

$$u' = g(t)|v|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\operatorname{sgn} v, \quad v' = -p(t)|u|^{\alpha}\operatorname{sgn} u,$$

where the functions $g: [0, +\infty[\to [0, +\infty[, p: [0, +\infty[\to \mathbb{R} \text{ are locally integrable and } \alpha > 0. We are especially interested in the case of <math>\int^{+\infty} g(s) ds < +\infty$.

In the paper, new non-oscillation criteria are established. Among others, they generalize wellknown results for linear systems as well as second order linear and also half-linear differential equations. The criteria presented complement the results of Hartman-Wintner's type for the system in question.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34C10

Keywords: two dimensional system of non-linear differential equations, oscillatory properties

1. INTRODUCTION

On the half-line $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty[$, we consider the two-dimensional system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations

$$u' = g(t)|v|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \operatorname{sgn} v,$$

$$v' = -p(t)|u|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} u,$$
(1.1)

where $\alpha > 0$ and $p, g: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ are locally Lebesgue integrable functions.

By a solution to system (1.1) on the interval $J \subseteq [0, +\infty)$ we understand a vector function (u, v), where functions $u, v: J \to \mathbb{R}$ are absolutely continuous on every compact interval contained in J and satisfy equalities (1.1) almost everywhere in J.

It was proved in [9] that all non-extendable solutions to system (1.1) are defined on the whole interval $[0, +\infty[$. Consequently, speaking about a solution to system (1.1), we assume, without loss of generality, that it is defined on $[0, +\infty[$.

^{© 2024} The Author(s). Published by Miskolc University Press. This is an open access article under the license CC BY 4.0.

Definition 1. A solution (u, v) of system (1.1) is called *non-trivial*, if

 $|u(t)| + |v(t)| \neq 0$ for $t \ge 0$.

We say that a non-trivial solution (u, v) of system (1.1) is *non-oscillatory* if at least one of its components does not have any sequence of zeroes tending to infinity, and *oscillatory* otherwise.

In [9, Theorem 1.1], it is shown that a certain analogue of Sturm's theorem holds for system (1.1) if the function g is non-negative. Especially if system (1.1) has a non-oscillatory solution, then any other of its non-trivial solutions is also non-oscillatory. Therefore, it is natural to assume

$$g(t) \ge 0 \quad \text{for a. e. } t \ge 0 \tag{1.2}$$

throughout the paper.

On the other hand, if $g(t) \equiv 0$ on some neighborhood of $+\infty$, then all non-trivial solutions to system (1.1) are non-oscillatory. Consequently, we also suppose that the inequality

$$\max\{\tau \ge t : g(\tau) > 0\} > 0 \quad \text{for } t \ge 0 \tag{1.3}$$

holds.

Definition 2. We say that system (1.1) is *non-oscillatory* if all its non-trivial solutions are non-oscillatory.

The oscillation and non-oscillation theory for ordinary differential equations is widely studied in the literature. The criteria presented below are close to those established in [1–4, 6–8, 10, 12]. Namely, many of them (see, e.g., the survey given in [1]) are known for the so-called "half-linear" equation

$$(r(t)|u'|^{q-1}\operatorname{sgn} u')' + p(t)|u|^{q-1}\operatorname{sgn} u = 0,$$
(1.4)

where q > 1, $p,r: [0, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}]$ are continuous and r is positive. We can see that (1.4) is a particular case of system (1.1). Indeed, if the function u, with the properties $u \in C^1$ and $r|u'|^{q-1}\operatorname{sgn} u' \in C^1$, is a solution to equation (1.4), then the vector function $(u, r|u'|^{q-1}\operatorname{sgn} u')$ is a solution to system (1.1) with $g(t) := r^{\frac{1}{1-q}}(t)$ for $t \ge 0$ and $\alpha := q - 1$. In the case of $\int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \, \mathrm{ds} = +\infty$, some of the above-mentioned results are generalized in [11].

Throughout the paper, we assume that the function g is integrable on $[0, +\infty]$, i.e.

$$\int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \,\mathrm{d}s < +\infty. \tag{1.5}$$

In this case, the interesting results dealing with the oscillation of the system (1.1) are presented in [2]. Below formulated criteria complement these ones in certain sense.

On the other hand, as far as we know, not many non-oscillation criteria are known under the assumption (1.5). In particular, for the half-linear equation (1.4), one can

find some non-oscillation criteria, e.g., in [1,5]. But there are some "sign" restrictions on the coefficient p.

We introduce the following notations. Let

$$f(t) := \int_t^{+\infty} g(s) \,\mathrm{d}s \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.$$

In view of assumptions (1.2), (1.3) and (1.5), we have

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} f(t) = 0$$

and

$$f(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.$$

Further, for any $\lambda > \alpha$, we put

$$c_{\alpha}(t;\lambda) := (\lambda - \alpha) f^{\lambda - \alpha}(t) \int_0^t \frac{g(s)}{f^{\lambda - \alpha + 1}(s)} \left(\int_0^s f^{\lambda}(\xi) p(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \right) \, \mathrm{d}s \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.$$

It is known that some analogy of the Hartmann–Wintner theorem (see [2, Corollary 2.11], where we put $v = 1 - \alpha + \lambda$) holds. In particular, if the function $c_{\alpha}(\cdot;\lambda)$ has no finite limit and $\liminf_{t\to+\infty} c_{\alpha}(t;\lambda) > -\infty$, then system (1.1) is oscillatory.

In this paper, we provide non-oscillatory criteria for the case where there exists a finite limit of the function $c_{\alpha}(\cdot; \lambda)$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}c_{\alpha}(t;\lambda)=:c_{\alpha}^{*}(\lambda)\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Under this assumption, we put for any $\lambda \in]\alpha, +\infty[$ and $\mu \in [0, \alpha[$

$$Q(t; \alpha, \lambda) := \frac{1}{f^{\lambda - \alpha}(t)} \left(c_{\alpha}^*(\lambda) - \int_0^t p(s) f^{\lambda}(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right) \quad \text{for } t \ge 0,$$
$$H(t; \alpha, \mu) := f^{\alpha - \mu}(t) \int_0^t p(s) f^{\mu}(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.$$

Moreover, let us denote

$$Q_*(\alpha, \lambda) := \liminf_{t \to +\infty} Q(t; \alpha, \lambda), \qquad H_*(\alpha, \mu) := \liminf_{t \to +\infty} H(t; \alpha, \mu),$$
$$Q^*(\alpha, \lambda) := \limsup_{t \to +\infty} Q(t; \alpha, \lambda), \qquad H^*(\alpha, \mu) := \limsup_{t \to +\infty} H(t; \alpha, \mu).$$
(1.6)

2. MAIN RESULTS

This section contains formulations of the main results of the paper. Firstly, we formulate the non-oscillation criteria for system (1.1) in terms of the lower and upper limits of the function $Q(\cdot, \alpha, \lambda)$.

For any $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, let us denote by $A(\kappa)$ and $B(\kappa)$ the smallest and the greatest roots of the equation

$$\alpha |x|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} + \lambda x + (\lambda - \alpha)\kappa = 0.$$
(2.1)

Let us note that, the equation (2.1) has exactly two real roots if $\kappa < \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\lambda-\alpha}$. Moreover, $A(\kappa) \in \left] -\infty, \left(\frac{\mu}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \right[$, i.e. the smallest one is always negative (see Figure 1(a), where $\alpha = 2, \lambda = 3, \kappa = \frac{1}{2}$).

Theorem 1. Let $\lambda \in]\alpha, +\infty[$,

$$A(\kappa) + \kappa < Q_*(\alpha, \lambda)$$
 and $Q^*(\alpha, \lambda) < \frac{1}{\lambda - \alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha}\right)^{1 + \alpha}$ (2.2)

be fulfilled, where $\kappa = \frac{\alpha(\lambda-\alpha)+\lambda}{(\lambda-\alpha)(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}$. *Then, system* (1.1) *is non-oscillatory.*

Before we formulate the following statement, we denote by $\widetilde{B}(\eta)$ the greatest root of the equation

$$\alpha |x|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} - \alpha x + \eta = 0.$$
(2.3)

Let us note that, the equation (2.3) has exactly two real roots if $\eta < \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{1+\alpha}$, moreover, $\widetilde{B}(\eta) \in \left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}, \infty\right[$, i.e. the greatest one is always positive (see Figure 1(b), where $\alpha = 2, \eta = \frac{1}{18}$).





Theorem 2. Let $\lambda \in]\alpha, +\infty[$,

$$-\infty < Q_*(\alpha, \lambda) \le A(\kappa) + \kappa$$
 (2.4)

and

$$Q^{*}(\alpha,\lambda) < Q_{*}(\alpha,\lambda) + \widetilde{B}(\eta) + B\left(Q_{*}(\alpha,\lambda) + \widetilde{B}(\eta)\right)$$
(2.5)

be fulfilled, where $\kappa = \frac{\alpha(\lambda-\alpha)+\lambda}{(\lambda-\alpha)(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}$ and $\eta = (\lambda-\alpha)Q_*(\alpha,\lambda)$. Then, system (1.1) is non-oscillatory.

Remark 1. Theorem 2 complements Theorem 1 in certain sense. Indeed, if the first inequality in (2.2) is not satisfied and $Q_*(\alpha, \lambda)$ is finite, then condition (2.4) holds. In such a case, it is sufficient to verify condition (2.5) and the system (1.1) is non-oscillatory according Theorem 2 (see Example 1).

In the following theorems we established the non-oscillation criteria in terms of the lower and upper limits of the function $H(\cdot, \alpha, \mu)$. Now, we denote by $\bar{A}(\nu)$ and $\bar{B}(\nu)$ the smallest and greatest roots of the equation

$$\alpha |x|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} + \mu x + (\alpha - \mu)\nu = 0.$$
(2.6)

Let us note that, the equation (2.6) has two real roots if $v < \frac{1}{\alpha - \mu} \left(\frac{\mu}{1 + \alpha}\right)^{1 + \alpha}$, moreover, $\bar{A}(v) \in \left[-\infty, \left(\frac{\mu}{1 + \alpha}\right)^{\alpha}\right]$, i.e. the smallest one is always negative.

Theorem 3. Let $\mu \in [0, \alpha[$,

$$-\frac{\alpha(2\alpha+1)}{(1+\alpha)(\alpha-\mu)}\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} < H_*(\alpha,\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad H^*(\alpha,\mu) < \nu - \bar{A}(\nu)$$
(2.7)

be fulfilled with $v = -\frac{\alpha(\alpha+\mu)+\mu}{(\alpha-\mu)(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}$. Then, system (1.1) is non-oscillatory.

Finally, we formulate the statement which completes the previous one in the same sense, as it is mentioned in Remark 1 for Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Theorem 4. *Let* $\mu \in [0, \alpha[$ *,*

$$-\infty < H_*(\alpha,\mu) \le -\frac{\alpha(2\alpha+1)}{(1+\alpha)(\alpha-\mu)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}$$
(2.8)

and

$$H^*(\alpha,\mu) < \delta - \bar{A}(\delta) \tag{2.9}$$

be fulfilled, where $\delta = \left(\widehat{B}((\alpha - \mu)H_*(\alpha, \mu))\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} + H_*(\alpha, \mu)$ and $\widehat{B}(\xi)$ is the greatest root of the equation

$$\alpha |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} + \alpha x + \xi = 0, \text{ for } \xi \le 0.$$

Then, system (1.1) is non-oscillatory.

Let us note, that the
$$\widehat{B}(\xi) \in \left] - \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}, +\infty \right[\text{ and } \widehat{B}(\xi) > 0 \text{ for } \xi < 0.$$

Remark 2. In [1, Section 3.1], there are functions Q, H and also non-oscillatory criteria defined for the equation (1.4) with the particular parameters $\lambda = \alpha + 1$ and $\mu = 0$. However, in this paper, they are formulated in a more general way, where $\lambda \in]\alpha, +\infty[$ and $\mu \in [0, \lambda[$. One can see (e.g. Example 2) that it is meaningful, since we can decide on non-oscillation in more cases of the system in question (1.1).

Example 1. Let $\alpha = 2$, $\lambda = 3$,

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{(1+t)^2},$$

and

$$p(t) = \left(\frac{89}{432} + \frac{5\sqrt{105}}{144}\right) \left(\sin\left(\ln(1+t)\right) + \cos\left(\ln(1+t)\right) - \frac{15\sqrt{105} - 19}{89 + 15\sqrt{105}}\right)$$
$$\cdot (1+t)$$

for $t \ge 0$. One can verify that

$$f(t) = \int_{t}^{+\infty} g(s) \,\mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{1+t} \quad \text{for } t \ge 0$$

and

$$c_{2}(t,3) = \frac{1}{1+t} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\int_{0}^{s} p(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \right) ds$$

= $\frac{(-15\sqrt{105} - 69) \sin(\ln(1+t)) + (-19 + 15\sqrt{105}) \ln(t+1) + 108t}{432(t+1)}$

for t > 0. Hence, we get

$$c_2^*(3) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} c_2(t,3) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Moreover,

$$Q(t;2,3) = (t+1)\left(\frac{1}{4} - \int_0^t \frac{p(s)}{(1+s)^3} ds\right)$$
$$= \frac{(89 + 15\sqrt{105})\left(\cos\left(\frac{\ln(1+t)}{2}\right)\right)^2 - 15\sqrt{105} - 35}{216} \quad \text{for } t \ge 0,$$

therefore,

$$Q_*(2,3) = \liminf_{t \to +\infty} Q(t;2,3) = -\frac{15\sqrt{105+35}}{216}$$

and

$$Q^*(2,3) = \limsup_{t \to +\infty} Q(t;2,3) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

On the other hand, for $\alpha = 2$, $\lambda = 3$, we have $\kappa = \frac{20}{27}$ and the equation (2.1) is of the form

$$2|x|^{\frac{3}{2}} + 3x + \frac{20}{27} = 0.$$

It is not difficult to verify that

$$A\left(\frac{20}{27}\right) + \frac{20}{27} = -\frac{(5+\sqrt{105})^2}{144} + \frac{20}{27} = -\frac{15\sqrt{105}+35}{216} = Q_*(2,3).$$

Consequently, we cannot apply Theorem 1, since the first inequality in (2.2) is not satisfied. However, one can show that Theorem 2 guarantees non-oscillation of the system in question. Indeed, for $\lambda = 3$ and $\alpha = 2$, we have $\eta = Q_*(2,3)$ and equation (2.3) is of the form

$$2|x|^{\frac{3}{2}} - 2x - \frac{15\sqrt{105 + 35}}{216} = 0.$$

One can verify that

$$\widetilde{B}\left(-\frac{15\sqrt{105}+35}{216}\right) = \frac{65+5\sqrt{105}}{72}$$

and

$$B\left(Q_*(\alpha,\lambda)+\widetilde{B}(\eta)\right)=-\frac{4}{9}$$

Hence,

$$Q^{*}(2,3) = \frac{1}{4} < \frac{8}{27} = Q_{*}(2,3) + \widetilde{B}\left(-\frac{15\sqrt{105}+35}{216}\right) + B\left(Q_{*}(\alpha,\lambda) + \widetilde{B}(\eta)\right).$$

Consequently, according to Theorem 2, system (1.1) is non-oscillatory.

Example 2. Let $\alpha = 2$,

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{(1+t)^2}$$
, and $p(t) = \left(\frac{2\cos t}{3} - \frac{7}{9(1+t)}\right)(1+t)^2$ for $t \ge 0$.

If we put $\mu = 1$, then one can calculate that

$$f(t) = \int_{t}^{+\infty} g(s) \,\mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{1+t} \quad \text{for } t \ge 0$$

and

$$H(t;2,1) = f(t) \int_0^t p(s)f(s) \, ds = \frac{1}{1+t} \int_0^t \left(\frac{2(1+s)\cos s}{3} - \frac{7}{9}\right) \, ds$$
$$= \frac{6(1+t)\sin t + 6\cos t - 7t - 6}{9(1+t)} \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.$$

Hence,

$$H_*(2,1) = \liminf_{t \to +\infty} H(t;2,1) = -\frac{13}{9},$$

and

$$H^*(2,1) = \limsup_{t \to +\infty} H(t;2,1) = -\frac{1}{9}$$

For $\alpha = 2, \mu = 1$, we have $\nu = -\frac{8}{27}$ and the equation (2.6) is of the form

$$2|x|^{\frac{3}{2}} + x - \frac{8}{27} = 0.$$

One can verify that

$$\bar{A}\left(-\frac{8}{27}\right) = -\left(\frac{(57+4\sqrt{203})^{\frac{1}{3}}+1}{6} + \frac{1}{6(57+4\sqrt{203})^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right)^2,$$

thus,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{v} - \bar{A}(\mathbf{v}) &= -\frac{8}{27} + \left(\frac{(57 + 4\sqrt{203})^{\frac{1}{3}} + 1}{6} + \frac{1}{6(57 + 4\sqrt{203})^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right)^2 \\ &\approx -0.019 > -\frac{1}{9} = H^*(2,1). \end{split}$$

Clearly,

$$-\frac{\alpha(2\alpha+1)}{(1+\alpha)(\alpha-\mu)}\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}=-\frac{40}{27}<-\frac{13}{9}=H_*(2,1).$$

We see that both conditions in (2.7) are satisfied and, therefore, according to Theorem 3, system (1.1) is non-oscillatory.

On the other hand, if we put $\mu = 0$, then

$$-\frac{2\alpha+1}{1+\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} = -\frac{20}{27} > -\frac{19}{18} = H_*(2,0).$$

and now we cannot apply Theorem 3. Consequently, it is meaningful to consider our criteria with the "weight" f^{μ} .

3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Firstly, we present an auxiliary lemma, which we use to prove the main theorems.

Lemma 1 ([11, Lemma 3.1]). Let there exist a locally absolutely continuous function σ : $[a, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ satisfying the inequality}]$

$$\sigma'(t) \le -p(t) - \alpha g(t) |\sigma(t)|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} \quad \text{for a. e. } t \ge a,$$
(3.1)

where $a \ge 0$. Then, system (1.1) is non-oscillatory.

It is not difficult to verify the next lemma by a direct calculation.

Lemma 2. Let

$$y(x) := \alpha |x|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} + \beta x + \gamma,$$

where $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$y'(x) < 0$$
 for $]-\infty, x_1[, y'(x) > 0$ for $]x_1, \infty[$, (3.2)

where
$$x_1 = -\left(\frac{\beta}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}$$
, and
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} y(x) = +\infty, \qquad \lim_{x \to +\infty} y(x) = +\infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. In view of (1.6) and (2.2), there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$A(\kappa) + \kappa < Q(t; \alpha, \lambda) < \frac{1}{\lambda - \alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha}\right)^{1 + \alpha}$$
 for $t \ge t_0$.

Hence,

$$A(\kappa) < Q(t;\alpha,\lambda) - \kappa < -\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \quad \text{for } t \ge t_0.$$
(3.3)

One can show that $x_2 = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}$ is the root of the equation (2.1). Moreover, by virtue of the hypothesis $\lambda > \alpha$ and Lemma 2 (with $\beta = \lambda$ and $\gamma = \kappa(\lambda - \alpha)$), we get

$$A(\kappa) < x_1 < x_2$$
, and $\alpha |x|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} + \lambda x + (\lambda - \alpha)\kappa < 0$ for $x \in]A(\kappa), x_2[$, (3.4)

where $x_1 = -\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}$. The latter inequalities, together with (3.3), yield

$$\alpha |Q(t;\alpha,\lambda) - \kappa|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} + \lambda (Q(t;\alpha,\lambda) - \kappa) + (\lambda - \alpha)\kappa \le 0 \quad \text{for } t \ge t_0.$$
(3.5)

Let us introduce the function σ as follows

$$\sigma(t) := \frac{1}{f^{\alpha}(t)} \left(Q(t; \alpha, \lambda) - \kappa \right) \quad \text{for a. e. } t \ge t_0.$$
(3.6)

It is clear that

$$\sigma'(t) = \frac{g(t)}{f^{1+\alpha}(t)} \left(\lambda(Q(t;\alpha,\lambda) - \kappa) + (\lambda - \alpha)\kappa \right) - p(t) \quad \text{for } t \ge t_0.$$

The latter equality, together with (3.5), implies

$$\sigma'(t) \le \frac{g(t)}{f^{1+\alpha}(t)} \left(-\alpha |Q(t;\alpha,\lambda) - \kappa|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} \right) - p(t) \quad \text{for a. e. } t \ge 0.$$

Hence, in view of (3.6), we get that inequality (3.1) is satisfied with $a = t_0$. Consequently, according to Lemma 1, system (1.1) is non-oscillatory.

Proof of Theorem 2. By virtue of (1.6), (2.4) and (2.5), there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$Q_*(\alpha, \lambda) - \varepsilon < Q(t; \alpha, \lambda) < Q^*(\alpha, \lambda) + \varepsilon$$
(3.7)

and

$$Q^{*}(\alpha,\lambda) + \varepsilon < Q_{*}(\alpha,\lambda) - \varepsilon + \widetilde{B}(\eta_{\varepsilon}) + B\left(Q_{*}(\alpha,\lambda) - \varepsilon + \widetilde{B}(\eta_{\varepsilon})\right) \quad \text{for } t \ge t_{\varepsilon} \quad (3.8)$$

hold with $\eta_{\epsilon} = (\lambda - \alpha)(Q_*(\alpha, \lambda) - \epsilon).$

An analysis similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1 shows that (3.4) holds, where $x_1 = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}$ and $x_2 = -\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}$. Therefore, $A(\kappa) < -\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}$ and $\alpha |x_1|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} + \lambda x_1 + (\lambda - \alpha)\kappa < 0.$

The latter inequality guarantees that

$$\kappa < \frac{1}{\lambda - \alpha} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 + \alpha} \right)^{1 + \alpha}.$$

Hence, in view of (2.4), we obtain

$$Q_*(\alpha,\lambda) \leq A(\kappa) + \kappa < \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{\alpha - \alpha\lambda + \alpha^2}{(1+\alpha)(\lambda - \alpha)}$$

and, consequently,

$$Q_*(\alpha,\lambda)(\lambda-\alpha) < \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{\alpha-\alpha\lambda+\alpha^2}{1+\alpha}.$$
 (3.9)

On the other hand, the function $z: x \mapsto \alpha |x|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} - \alpha x + \eta_{\varepsilon}$ is decreasing on $\left] -\infty, \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \right[$, and increasing on $\left] \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}, \infty \right[$. Moreover, by virtue of (3.9), we get

$$z\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}\right) < 0$$

Hence, $\widetilde{B}(\eta_{\varepsilon}) > \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}$ and, consequently,

$$-\widetilde{B}(\eta_{\varepsilon}) < -\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}.$$
(3.10)

If we put

$$\kappa_{\varepsilon} = Q_*(\alpha, \lambda) - \varepsilon + \widetilde{B}(\eta_{\varepsilon}), \qquad (3.11)$$

then it is not difficult to verify that $-\widetilde{B}(\eta_{\varepsilon})$ is the root of equation (2.1) with $\kappa = \kappa_{\varepsilon}$. Moreover, (3.10) and Lemma 2 (with $\beta = \lambda$ and $\gamma = (\lambda - \alpha)\kappa_{\varepsilon}$) imply, that $-B(\eta_{\varepsilon}) = A(\kappa_{\varepsilon})$ and

$$\alpha |x|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} + \lambda x + (\lambda - \alpha)\kappa_{\varepsilon} < 0 \quad \text{for } x \in]A(\kappa_{\varepsilon}), B(\kappa_{\varepsilon})[. \tag{3.12}$$

In view of (3.7), (3.8) and (3.11), we get

$$A(\kappa_{\varepsilon}) = -\overline{B}(\eta_{\varepsilon}) \leq Q(t; \alpha, \lambda) - \kappa_{\varepsilon} \leq B(\kappa_{\varepsilon}) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_{\varepsilon}.$$

The latter inequalities and (3.12) yield (3.5) with $\kappa = \kappa_{\varepsilon}$ and $t_0 = t_{\varepsilon}$.

Now, let the function σ be defined by formula (3.6) with $\kappa = \kappa_{\varepsilon}$ and $t_0 = t_{\varepsilon}$. Analogously, as in the proof of Theorem 1, one can verify that inequality (3.1) with $a = t_{\varepsilon}$ holds and, consequently, according to Lemma 1, system (1.1) is non-oscillatory.

Proof of Theorem 3. In view of (1.6) and (2.7), there exist $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$-\frac{\alpha(2\alpha+1)}{(1+\alpha)(\alpha-\mu)}\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} < H(t;\alpha,\mu) < \nu - \bar{A}(\nu) \quad \text{for } t \ge t_0.$$
(3.13)

According to Lemma 2 (with $\beta = \mu$ and $\gamma = (\alpha - \mu)\nu$), one can see that function

$$y(x) := \alpha |x|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} + \mu x + (\alpha - \mu)\nu \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}$$
(3.14)

satisfies relations (3.2) with $x_1 = -\left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha}$. Moreover, it is not difficult to verify, that $\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}$ is the greatest root of the equation (2.6). Hence, by virtue of (3.2), we have

$$y(x) < 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \left] \bar{A}(v), \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \right[.$$
 (3.15)

On the other hand, from (3.13), we obtain

$$\bar{A}(\mathbf{v}) < \mathbf{v} - H(t; \alpha, \mu) < \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} = \bar{B}(\mathbf{v}) \quad \text{for } t \ge t_0$$

The latter inequalities, together with (3.14) and (3.15), yield

$$\alpha |\mathbf{v} - H(t; \alpha, \mu)|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} + \mu (\mathbf{v} - H(t; \alpha, \mu)) + (\alpha - \mu)\mathbf{v} \le 0 \quad \text{for } t \ge t_0.$$
(3.16)

Now, we put

$$\sigma(t) := \frac{1}{f^{\alpha}(t)} \left(\mathbf{v} - H(t; \alpha, \mu) \right) \quad \text{for } t \ge t_0.$$
(3.17)

One can show that

$$\sigma'(t) = \frac{g(t)}{f^{1+\alpha}(t)} \left(\mu(\nu - H(t;\alpha,\mu)) + (\alpha - \mu)\nu \right) - p(t) \quad \text{for a. e. } t \ge t_0.$$

Hence, in view of (3.16), we obtain

$$\sigma'(t) \le \frac{g(t)}{f^{1+\alpha}(t)} \left(-\alpha |\mathbf{v} - H(t; \alpha, \mu)|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} \right) - p(t) \quad \text{for a. e. } t \ge t_0.$$

Consequently, by virtue of (3.17), we get that (3.1) holds with $a = t_0$ and, according to Lemma 1, system (1.1) is non-oscillatory.

Proof of Theorem 4. In view of (1.6) and (2.9), there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$H_*(\alpha,\mu) - \varepsilon < H(t;\alpha,\mu) < H^*(\alpha,\mu) + \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \ge t_\varepsilon$$
(3.18)

and

$$H^*(\alpha,\mu) + \varepsilon < \delta_{\varepsilon} - \bar{A}(\delta_{\varepsilon}) \quad \text{for } t \ge t_{\varepsilon}$$
(3.19)

hold, where

$$\delta_{\varepsilon} = \left(\widehat{B}((\alpha - \mu)(H_*(\alpha, \mu) - \varepsilon))\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha}} + H_*(\alpha, \mu) - \varepsilon.$$
(3.20)

From (2.8), we get

$$\widehat{B}((\alpha-\mu)(H_*(\alpha,\mu)-\varepsilon))>0.$$

Moreover, in view of the latter inequality, one can show that

$$\left(\widehat{B}((\alpha-\mu)(H_*(\alpha,\mu)-\varepsilon))\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\epsilon}}$$

is the greatest root of the equation (2.6) with $\nu = \delta_{\epsilon}$, i.e.,

$$\bar{B}(\delta_{\varepsilon}) = \left(\widehat{B}((\alpha - \mu)(H_*(\alpha, \mu) - \varepsilon))\right)^{\frac{\omega}{1+\alpha}}.$$

Consequently, from (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20), we get

$$\bar{A}(\delta_{\varepsilon}) < \delta_{\varepsilon} - H(t; \alpha, \mu) < \bar{B}(\delta_{\varepsilon}).$$
(3.21)

On the other hand, an analysis similar to that in the proof of Theorem 3 shows that function

$$y_{\varepsilon}(x) := \alpha |x|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} + \mu x + (\alpha - \mu)\delta_{\varepsilon}$$

satisfies relations

$$y(x) < 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \left] \bar{A}(\delta_{\varepsilon}), \bar{B}(\delta_{\varepsilon}) \right[.$$

The latter inequality together with (3.21) yield (3.16) with $v = \delta_{\varepsilon}$ and $t_0 = t_{\varepsilon}$. Analogously, as in the proof of Theorem 3, one can verify that function

$$\sigma(t) := \frac{1}{f^{\alpha}(t)} \left(\delta_{\varepsilon} - H(t; \alpha, \mu) \right) \quad \text{for } t \ge t_{\varepsilon}$$

satisfies inequality (3.1) with $a = t_{\varepsilon}$ and, consequently, according to Lemma 1, system (1.1) is non-oscillatory.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Brno University of Technology from the Specific Research Project no. FSI-S-23-8161.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Došlý and P. Řehák, Half-linear differential equations. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2005.
- [2] M. Dosoudilová, A. Lomtatidze, and J. Šremr, "Oscillatory properties of solutions to certain twodimensional systems of non-linear ordinary differential equations," *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Meth*ods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods, vol. 120, pp. 57–75, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.na.2015.02.014.
- [3] E. Hille, "Non-oscillation theorems," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 234–252, 1948, doi: 10.2307/1990500.
- [4] N. Kandelaki, A. Lomtatidze, and D. Ugulava, "Non-oscillatory theorems." *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 64, no. 64, pp. 234–252, 1948, doi: 10.2307/1990500.
- [5] T. Kusano and Y. Naito, "Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for second order quasilinear differential equations," Acta Math. Hung., vol. 76, no. 1-2, pp. 81–99, 1997, doi: 10.1007/BF02907054.
- [6] A. Lomtatidze, "Oscillation and nonoscillation of Emden-Fowler type equations of second order," *Arch. Math., Brno*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 181–193, 1996.
- [7] A. Lomtatidze, "Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for second-order linear differential equations," *Georgian Math. J.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 129–138, 1997.
- [8] A. Lomtatidze and J. Šremr, "On oscillation and nonoscillation of two-dimensional linear differential systems," *Georgian Math. J.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 573–600, 2013, doi: 10.1515/gmj-2013-0025.

- [9] J. D. Mirzov, "On some analogs of Sturm's and Kneser's theorems for nonlinear systems," J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 53, pp. 418–425, 1976, doi: 10.1016/0022-247X(76)90120-7.
- [10] Z. Nehari, "Oscillation criteria for second-order linear differential equations," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 85, no. 2, pp. 428–445, 1957, doi: 10.2307/1992939.
- [11] Z. Opluštil, "On non-oscillation for certain system of non-linear ordinary differential equations," *Georgian Math. J.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 277–285, 2017, doi: 10.1515/gmj-2016-0068.
- [12] Z. Opluštil, "Oscillatory properties of certain system of non-linear ordinary differential equations," *Miskolc Math. Notes*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 439–459, 2018, doi: 10.18514/MMN.2018.2391.

Author's address

Zdeněk Opluštil

Brno University of Technology, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Institute of Mathematics, Technická 2, 616 69 Brno, Czech Republic

E-mail address: oplustil@fme.vutbr.cz