



## ON $(M, P)$ -FUNCTIONS WITH SOME FEATURES AND NEW INEQUALITIES

ERHAN SET, ALİ KARAOĞLAN, İMDAT İŞCAN, AND NESLİHAN KILIÇ

*Received 30 November, 2022*

*Abstract.* In this study, we introduce a generalization of  $P$ -function, called  $(M, P)$ -functions, via weighted mean functions given by İşcan. Then, we prove some new inequalities for  $(M, P)$ -functions. Also, we give new properties for  $(M, P)$ -functions and present some results for the special cases of  $M$ .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 26A51; 26D10; 26E60

*Keywords:*  $(M, P)$ -functions,  $MN$ -convex functions, means, weighted means, integral inequalities

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Historically, pedagogically and logically, the study of convex functions begins in the context of real-valued functions of real variable. Convex functions have important applications and at same time they give rise to a variety of generalizations. The geometric definition of a convex function specifies the following. A real-valued function is said to be convex if the line segment connecting two points of its graph lies above the graph. Equivalently, a real-valued function is convex if its epigraph (the set of points on or above its graph) is convex. A convex function  $f: [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and its restriction to  $(a, b)$  is continuous. Simple examples of convex functions are  $f(x) = x^2$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $g(x) = \sin x$  on  $[-\pi, 0]$ ,  $k(x) = |x|$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . The analytic definition of a convex function is as follows.

**Definition 1.** The function  $f: [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is said to be convex if the following inequality holds

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . We say that  $f$  is concave if  $(-f)$  is convex.

**Definition 2 ([5]).** A function  $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $P$  function or that  $f$  belongs to the class of  $P(I)$ , if it is nonnegative and, for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , satisfies the

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Miskolc University Press. This is an open access article under the license [CC BY 4.0](#).

following inequality;

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq f(x) + f(y). \quad (1.2)$$

Convex functions play an important role in many areas of mathematics. They are especially important in the study of optimization problems where they are distinguished by a number of convenient properties. The generalized condition of convexity, i.e.  $MN$ -convexity with respect to arbitrary means  $M$  and  $N$ , was proposed in 1933 by Aumann [4]. Recently many authors have dealt with these generalizations. In particular, Niculescu [14] compared  $MN$ -convexity with relative convexity. In [3], Anderson et al. studied certain generalizations of these notions for a positive-valued function of a positive variable as follows:

**Definition 3.** A function  $M: (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is called a mean function if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (M1)  $M(u, v) = M(v, u)$ ,
- (M2)  $M(u, u) = u$ ,
- (M3)  $u < M(u, v) < v$  whenever  $u < v$ ,
- (M4)  $M(\lambda u, \lambda v) = \lambda M(u, v)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

*Example 1.* For  $u, v \in (0, \infty)$

$$M(u, v) = A(u, v) = A = \frac{u + v}{2}$$

is the Arithmetic Mean,

$$M(u, v) = G(u, v) = G = \sqrt{uv}$$

is the Geometric Mean,

$$M(u, v) = H(u, v) = H = A^{-1}(u^{-1}, v^{-1}) = \frac{2uv}{u + v}$$

is the Harmonic Mean,

$$M(u, v) = L(u, v) = L = \begin{cases} \frac{u-v}{\ln u - \ln v} & u \neq v \\ u & u = v \end{cases}$$

is the Logarithmic Mean,

$$M(u, v) = I(u, v) = I = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e} \left( \frac{u^u}{v^v} \right)^{\frac{1}{u-v}} & u \neq v \\ u & u = v \end{cases}$$

is the Identric Mean,

$$M(u, v) = M_p(u, v) = M_p = \begin{cases} A^{1/p}(u^p, v^p) = \left( \frac{u^p + v^p}{2} \right)^{1/p} & p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \\ G(u, v) = \sqrt{uv} & p = 0 \end{cases}$$

is the  $p$ -Power Mean, In particular, we have the following inequality

$$M_{-1} = H \leq M_0 = G \leq L \leq I \leq A = M_1.$$

In [3], Anderson et al. gave a new definition of  $MN$ -convex functions called  $MN$ -midpoint convex with the help of  $M$  and  $N$  weighted mean as follows.

**Definition 4.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two means defined on the intervals  $I \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $J \subset (0, \infty)$  respectively, a function  $f: I \rightarrow J$  is called  $MN$ -midpoint convex if it satisfies

$$f(M(u, v)) \leq N(f(u), f(v))$$

for all  $u, v \in I$ .

In [9], İşcan gave a new definition of function called weighted mean function as follows.

**Definition 5.** A function  $M: (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is called a weighted mean function if

(WM1)  $M(u, v, \lambda) = M(v, u, 1 - \lambda)$ .

(WM2)  $M(u, u, \lambda) = u$ .

(WM3)  $u < M(u, v, \lambda) < v$  whenever  $u < v$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Also  $\{M(u, v, 0), M(u, v, 1)\} = \{u, v\}$ .

(WM4)  $M(\alpha u, \alpha v, \lambda) = \alpha M(u, v, \lambda)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

(WM5) Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  be fixed. Then  $M(u, v, \lambda) \leq M(w, v, \lambda)$  whenever  $u \leq w$  and  $M(u, v, \lambda) \leq M(u, \omega, \lambda)$  whenever  $v \leq \omega$ .

(WM6) Let  $u, v \in (0, \infty)$  be fixed and  $u \neq v$ . Then  $M(u, v, \cdot)$  is a strictly monotone and continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ .

(WM7)  $M(M(u, v, \lambda), M(z, w, \lambda), s) = M(M(u, z, s), M(v, w, s), \lambda)$  for all  $u, v, z, w \in (0, \infty)$  and  $s, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

(WM8)  $M(u, v, s\lambda_1 + (1 - s)\lambda_2) = M(M(u, v, \lambda_1), M(u, v, \lambda_2), s)$  for all  $u, v \in (0, \infty)$  and  $s, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1]$ .

*Remark 1 ([9]).* According to the above definition every weighted mean function is a mean function with  $\lambda = 1/2$ . Also, By (WM6) we can say that for each  $x \in [u, v] \subseteq (0, \infty)$  there exists a  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that  $x = M(u, v, \lambda)$ . Moreover;

i) If  $M(u, v, \cdot)$  is a strictly increasing, then  $M(u, v, 0) = u$  and  $M(u, v, 1) = v$  whenever  $u < v$  (i.e.  $M(u, v, \lambda)$  is in the positive direction)

ii) If  $M(u, v, \cdot)$  is a strictly decreasing, then  $M(u, v, 0) = v$  and  $M(u, v, 1) = u$  whenever  $u < v$  (i.e.  $M(u, v, \lambda)$  is in the negative direction) and  $M(u, v, \cdot)([0, 1]) = [\min\{u, v\}, \max\{u, v\}]$ .

Throughout this paper, we will assume that different weighted means have the same direction unless otherwise stated.

*Example 2 ([9]).*

$$M(u, v, \lambda) = A(u, v, \lambda) = A_\lambda = (1 - \lambda)u + \lambda v$$

is the Weighted Arithmetic Mean,

$$M(u, v, \lambda) = G(u, v, \lambda) = G_\lambda = u^{1-\lambda}v^\lambda$$

is the Weighted Geometric Mean,

$$M(u, v, \lambda) = H(u, v, \lambda) = H_\lambda = A^{-1}(u^{-1}, v^{-1}, \lambda) = \frac{uv}{\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v}$$

is the Weighted Harmonic Mean,

$$M(u, v, \lambda) = M_p(u, v, \lambda) = M_{p,\lambda} = \begin{cases} A^{1/p}(u^p, v^p, \lambda) = ((1 - \lambda)u^p + \lambda v^p)^{1/p} & p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \\ G(u, v, \lambda) = u^{1-\lambda}v^\lambda & p = 0 \end{cases}$$

is the  $p$ -Power Mean. In particular, we have the following inequality

$$M_{-1,\lambda} = H_\lambda \leq M_{0,\lambda} = G_\lambda \leq M_{1,\lambda} = A_\lambda \leq M_{p,\lambda}$$

for all  $x, y \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $p \geq 1$ .

İşcan [9] proved the equalities in the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *If  $M: (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a weighted mean function, then the following identities hold:*

$$M(M(a, M(a, b, s), \lambda), M(b, M(a, b, s), \lambda), s) = M(a, b, s), \quad (1.3)$$

$$M(M(a, b, \lambda), M(b, a, \lambda), 1/2) = M(a, b, 1/2). \quad (1.4)$$

Many different definitions of convexity have been made by mathematicians until now. One of these definition was given by İşcan as follows.

**Definition 6 ([9]).** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two weighted means defined on the intervals  $I \subseteq (0, \infty)$  and  $J \subseteq (0, \infty)$  respectively, a function  $f: I \rightarrow J$  is called  $MN$ -convex (concave) if it satisfies

$$f(M(u, v, \lambda)) \leq (\geq) N(f(u), f(v), \lambda)$$

for all  $u, v \in I$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

We note that by considering the special cases of  $M$  and  $N$ , we obtain several different results. For some recent results related to convex functions,  $MN$ -convexity and some kinds of convexity obtained by using weighted means, see [1, 4, 6, 7, 11–14, 16].

**Definition 7 ([9]).** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two weighted means defined on the intervals  $[u, v] \subseteq (0, \infty)$  and  $J \subseteq (0, \infty)$  respectively and  $f: [u, v] \rightarrow J$  be a function. We say that  $f$  is symmetric with respect to  $M(u, v, 1/2)$ , if it satisfies

$$f(M(u, v, \lambda)) = f(M(u, v, 1 - \lambda))$$

for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 8** ([10]). A function  $f$  defined on  $[a, b]$  is said to be of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  if its total variation  $Var(f)$  on  $[a, b]$  is finite, where

$$Var(f) = \sup \sum_{j=1}^n |f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})|, \quad (1.5)$$

the supremum being taken over all partitions

$$a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b \quad (1.6)$$

of the interval  $[a, b]$ ; here,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is arbitrary and so is the choice of values  $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}$  in  $[a, b]$  which, however, must satisfy (1.6).

Obviously, all functions of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  form a vector space. A norm on this space is given by

$$\|f\| = |f(a)| + Var(f). \quad (1.7)$$

The normed space thus defined is denoted by  $BV[a, b]$ , where  $BV$  suggest "bounded variation".

In 1905, E. Almansi [2] proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f$  and  $f'$  be continuous functions on the interval  $(a, b)$  and let  $f(a) = f(b)$  and  $\int_a^b f(x) dx = 0$ . Then

$$\int_a^b [f(x)]^2 dx \leq \left(\frac{b-a}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int_a^b [f'(x)]^2 dx. \quad (1.8)$$

The aim of this paper is to give a new definition called  $(M, P)$ -function of  $P$ -functions that belongs to the class of  $P(I)$  via the weighted means, obtain new inequalities using  $(M, P)$ -functions and present some properties of  $(M, P)$ -functions.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Definition 9.** Let  $I \subset (0, \infty)$  be an interval, let  $M: I \times I \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a weighted mean function and let  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Then  $f$  is said to be an  $(M, P)$ -function if the inequality

$$f(M(x, y, t)) \leq f(x) + f(y)$$

holds for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

*Remark 2.* If we choose  $M$  as the weighted arithmetic mean in Definition 9, we obtain the class of  $P$ -function.

*Remark 3.* If  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function on  $I$ ,

$$f(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in I.$$

**Theorem 2.** Every  $MN$ -convex function is an  $(M, P)$ -function.

*Proof.* Let  $M: I \times I \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $N: J \times J \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be two weighted mean functions on intervals  $J \subset (0, \infty)$ ,  $I \subset (0, \infty)$  respectively and  $f: I \rightarrow J$  be a  $MN$ -convex function. Then we can write

$$f(M(x, y, t)) \leq N(f(x), f(y), t) \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

On the other hand, we can write

$$f(x) \leq f(x) + f(y)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + f(y).$$

Then, we obtain,

$$N(f(x), f(y), t) \leq N(f(x) + f(y), f(x) + f(y), t) = f(x) + f(y). \quad (2.2)$$

So, using (2.1) and (2.2), the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3** (Hermite-Hadamard's inequalities for  $(M, P)$ -functions). *Let  $M$  be a weighted mean function defined on the interval  $I \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $f: I \rightarrow J$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function. If the following integral exists, then we have the following inequalities for  $(M, P)$ -functions*

$$f(M(x, y, 1/2)) \leq 2 \int_0^1 f(M(x, y, t)) dt \leq 2[f(x) + f(y)] \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  with  $x < y$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is  $(M, P)$ -function, using (WM1) and (1.4) equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(M(x, y, 1/2)) &= f\left(M(M(x, y, t), M(x, y, 1-t), 1/2)\right) \\ &\leq f(M(x, y, t)) + f(M(x, y, 1-t)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Integrating both sides of (2.4) inequality respect to  $t$  over  $[0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 f(M(x, y, 1/2)) dt &= f(M(x, y, 1/2)) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 f(M(x, y, t)) dt + \int_0^1 f(M(x, y, 1-t)) dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 f(M(x, y, t)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Otherwise, we can write

$$f(M(x, y, t)) \leq f(x) + f(y) \quad (2.6)$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Integrating both sides of (2.6) inequality respect to  $t$  over  $[0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^1 f(M(x, y, t)) dt \leq f(x) + f(y). \quad (2.7)$$

Then, using (2.5) and (2.7) inequalities, we get the desired result.  $\square$

*Remark 4.* Let  $I \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $f$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function and  $M = A$  ( $A$  is the weighted arithmetic mean), then using (2.3), we have the following Hermite-Hadamard's inequalities (see [5], Theorem 3.1).

$$\begin{aligned} f(A(x, y, 1/2)) &= f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq 2 \int_0^1 f(A(x, y, t)) dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \\ &= \frac{2}{y-x} \int_x^y f(u) du \\ &\leq 2[f(x) + f(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 5.* Let  $I \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $f$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function and  $M = G$  ( $G$  is the weighted geometric mean), then using (2.3), we have the following Hermite-Hadamard's inequalities (see [15], Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.2, for  $h(t)=1$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} f(G(x, y, 1/2)) &= f(\sqrt{xy}) \leq 2 \int_0^1 f(G(x, y, t)) dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 f(x^{1-t}y^t) dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\ln y - \ln x} \int_x^y \frac{f(u)}{u} du \\ &\leq 2[f(x) + f(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 6.* Let  $I \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $f$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function and  $M = H$  ( $H$  is the weighted harmonic mean), then using (2.3), we have the following Hermite-Hadamard's inequalities (see [8], Theorem 4).

$$\begin{aligned} f(H(x, y, 1/2)) &= f\left(\frac{2xy}{x+y}\right) \leq 2 \int_0^1 f(H(x, y, t)) dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{xy}{tx + (1-t)y}\right) dt \\ &= \frac{2xy}{y-x} \int_x^y \frac{f(u)}{u^2} du \\ &\leq 2[f(x) + f(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.** If  $f: [a, b] \subset (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function,  $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function,  $f(x) \geq 0$  respect to Remark 3 for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then,  $f$  is a function bounded below. Also, we can write  $x = M(a, b, t)$  for  $\forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\exists t \in [0, 1]$ . Then, we get

$$f(x) = f(M(a, b, t)) \leq f(a) + f(b) = k$$

and  $f$  is a function bounded above. Consequently,  $f$  is a bounded function.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $M$  be weighted mean defined on the interval  $I \subset (0, \infty)$ . If  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function and  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $\alpha f$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function.*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha f(M(x, y, t)) &\leq \alpha(f(x) + f(y)) \\ &= \alpha f(x) + \alpha f(y). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\alpha f$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function. So, the proof of theorem is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $M$  be weighted mean function defined on the interval  $I \subset (0, \infty)$ . If  $f_\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrary family of  $(M, P)$ -functions and let  $f(x) = \sup_\alpha f_\alpha(x)$ . If  $K = \{u \in I: f(u) < \infty\}$  is nonempty, then  $K$  is an interval and  $f$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function on  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $x, y \in K$  be arbitrary. Also, since  $f_\alpha$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function,  $f_\alpha$  is bounded. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(M(x, y, t)) &= \sup_\alpha f_\alpha(M(x, y, t)) \\ &\leq \sup_\alpha (f_\alpha(x) + f_\alpha(y)) \\ &\leq \sup_\alpha f_\alpha(x) + \sup_\alpha f_\alpha(y) \\ &= f(x) + f(y) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows simultaneously that  $K$  is an interval, since it contains every point between any two of its points and that  $f$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function on  $K$ . The proof of the theorem is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $M$  be weighted mean function defined on the interval  $[x, y] \subseteq (0, \infty)$ . If function  $f: [x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function and symmetric with respect to  $M(x, y, 1/2)$ , then we have*

$$f(M(x, y, 1/2)) \leq 2f(u) \leq 2[f(x) + f(y)] \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $u \in [x, y]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in [x, y]$  be arbitrary point. Then there exist a  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that  $u = M(x, y, t)$ . Since  $f: [x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function and symmetric with respect to  $M(x, y, 1/2)$ , by using equality (1.4) we have

$$f(M(x, y, 1/2)) = f\left(M(M(x, y, t), M(x, y, 1-t), 1/2)\right)$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\leq f(M(x, y, t)) + f(M(x, y, 1-t)) \\ &= f(M(x, y, t)) + f(M(x, y, t)) \\ &= 2f(u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the left-hand side of inequality (2.8). Secondly, since  $f$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function and  $(WM5)$  with (1.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2f(u) &= f(M(x, y, t)) + f(M(x, y, t)) \\ &\leq f(x) + f(y) + f(x) + f(y) \\ &= 2f(x) + 2f(y) \\ &= 2[f(x) + f(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

So, the proof of the theorem is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** Let  $M$  be weighted mean function defined on the interval  $I \subset (0, \infty)$ . If the functions  $f, g: \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are  $(M, P)$ -functions, then  $f + g$  is also an  $(M, P)$ -function.

*Proof.* Since  $f$  and  $g$  are  $(M, P)$ -functions, we have

$$f(M(x, y, t)) \leq f(x) + f(y)$$

and

$$g(M(x, y, t)) \leq g(x) + g(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)(M(x, y, t)) &= f(M(x, y, t)) + g(M(x, y, t)) \\ &\leq f(x) + f(y) + g(x) + g(y) \\ &= f(x) + g(x) + f(y) + g(y) \\ &= (f + g)(x) + (f + g)(y). \end{aligned}$$

So, this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** Let  $0 < a < b$  and  $M: [a, b] \times [a, b] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a weighted mean function defined on  $[a, b]$ ,  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $f$  and  $f'$  be continuous functions on  $(a, b)$  with  $f(a) = f(b)$  and  $\int_0^1 f(M(a, b, t)) dt = 0$ . If  $|f'|$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function on  $[a, b]$ , then the following inequality holds

$$\int_0^1 f^2(M(a, b, t)) dt \leq \frac{[|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|]^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\varphi'(t))^2 dt,$$

where  $\varphi(t) = M(a, b, t)$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi(t) = M(a, b, t)$  and  $\bar{h}(t) = f \circ \varphi(t)$ . Since  $\varphi$  is strictly monotone,  $\varphi \in BV[0, 1]$ , then  $\varphi' \in L[0, 1]$ . Also, we can write  $\varphi(0) = a$ ,  $\varphi(1) = b$  and therefore

$\bar{h}(0) = f(M(a, b, 0)) = f(a) = f(b) = f(M(a, b, 1)) = \bar{h}(1)$ . Also, since  $\int_0^1 \bar{h}(t) dt = \int_0^1 f(M(a, b, t)) dt = 0$ ,  $\varphi$  satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1. So that, we can write

$$\int_0^1 \bar{h}^2(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\bar{h}'(t))^2 dt. \quad (2.9)$$

Then, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\bar{h}'(t))^2 dt &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 [f'(\varphi(t))\varphi'(t)]^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 |f'(\varphi(t))|^2 (\varphi'(t))^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|f'|$  is  $(M, P)$ -function on  $[a, b]$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\bar{h}'(t))^2 dt &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 [|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|]^2 (\varphi'(t))^2 dt \\ &= \frac{[|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|]^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\varphi'(t))^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Using (2.9) and (2.10), we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *If we take  $M = A$  ( $A$  is the weighted arithmetic mean) in Theorem 9, we get*

$$\int_a^b f^2(x) dx \leq \frac{(b-a)^3}{4\pi^2} [|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|]^2.$$

**Corollary 2.** *If we take  $M = G$  ( $G$  is the weighted geometric mean) in Theorem 9, we get*

$$\int_a^b \frac{f^2(x)}{x} dx \leq \frac{[\ln b - \ln a]^2 (b^2 - a^2)}{8\pi^2} [|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|]^2.$$

**Corollary 3.** *If we take  $M = H$  ( $H$  is the weighted harmonic mean) in Theorem 9, we get*

$$\int_a^b \frac{f^2(x)}{x^2} dx \leq \frac{(b^3 - a^3)(b-a)^2}{12(ab)^2\pi^2} [|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|]^2.$$

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $0 < a < b$  and  $M: [a, b] \times [a, b] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a weighted mean function defined on  $[a, b]$ ,  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $f$  and  $f'$  be continuous functions on  $(a, b)$  with  $f(a) = f(b)$  and  $\int_0^1 f(M(a, b, t)) dt = 0$ . If  $|f'|^q$  is an  $(M, P)$ -function on  $[a, b]$ , then the following inequality holds*

$$\int_0^1 f^2(M(a, b, t)) dt \leq \frac{[|f'(a)|^q + |f'(b)|^q]^{\frac{2}{q}}}{4\pi^2} \left( \int_0^1 |\varphi'(t)|^{2p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} > 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = M(a, b, t)$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi(t) = M(a, b, t)$  and  $\hbar(t) = f \circ \varphi(t)$ . Since  $\varphi$  is strictly monotone,  $\varphi \in \text{BV}[0, 1]$ , then  $\varphi' \in L[0, 1]$ . Also, we can write  $\varphi(0) = a$ ,  $\varphi(1) = b$  and therefore  $\hbar(0) = f(M(a, b, 0)) = f(a) = f(b) = f(M(a, b, 1)) = \hbar(1)$ . Also, since  $\int_0^1 \hbar(t) dt = \int_0^1 f(M(a, b, t)) dt = 0$ ,  $\varphi$  satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1. So that, we can write

$$\int_0^1 \hbar^2(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\hbar'(t))^2 dt. \quad (2.11)$$

Using Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\hbar'(t))^2 dt &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 [f'(\varphi(t))\varphi'(t)]^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 |f'(\varphi(t))|^2 |\varphi'(t)|^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left( \int_0^1 (|f'(\varphi(t))|^2)^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_0^1 (|\varphi'(t)|^2)^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left( \int_0^1 (|f'(\varphi(t))|^q)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_0^1 |\varphi'(t)|^{2p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|f'|^q$  is  $(M, P)$ -function on  $[a, b]$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\hbar'(t))^2 dt &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left( \int_0^1 (|f'(a)|^q + |f'(b)|^q)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_0^1 |\varphi'(t)|^{2p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \frac{[|f'(a)|^q + |f'(b)|^q]^{\frac{2}{q}}}{4\pi^2} \left( \int_0^1 |\varphi'(t)|^{2p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Using (2.11) and (2.12), we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** If we take  $M = A$  ( $A$  is the weighted arithmetic mean) in Theorem 10, we get

$$\int_a^b f^2(x) dx \leq \frac{(b-a)^3}{4\pi^2} [|f'(a)|^q + |f'(b)|^q]^{\frac{2}{q}}.$$

**Corollary 5.** If we take  $M = G$  ( $G$  is the weighted geometric mean) in Theorem 10, we get

$$\int_a^b \frac{f^2(x)}{x} dx \leq \frac{[\ln b - \ln a]^{3-\frac{1}{p}} (b^{2p} - a^{2p})^{\frac{1}{p}}}{4\pi^2 (2p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} [|f'(a)|^q + |f'(b)|^q]^{\frac{2}{q}}.$$

**Corollary 6.** *If we take  $M = H$  ( $H$  is the weighted harmonic mean) in Theorem 10, we get*

$$\int_a^b \frac{f^2(x)}{x^2} dx \leq \frac{(b-a)^{3-\frac{1}{p}}(ab)(b^{1-4p}-a^{1-4p})^{\frac{1}{p}}}{4\pi^2(1-4p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} [ |f'(a)|^q + |f'(b)|^q ]^{\frac{2}{q}}.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aczél, “A generalization of the notion of convex functions,” *Norske Vid. Selsk. Forhd., Trondheim*, vol. 19, no. 24, pp. 87–90, 1947.
- [2] E. Almansi, “Sopra una delle esperienze di Plateau,” *Ann. Mat. Pure Appl.*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 1–17, 1905.
- [3] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy, and M. Vuorinen, “Generalized convexity and inequalities,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 335, no. 2, pp. 1294–1308, nov 2007, doi: [10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.02.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.02.016).
- [4] G. Aumann, “Konvexe Funktionen und Induktion bei Ungleichungen zwischen Mittelwerten,” *Bayer. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl. Abh., Math. Ann.*, vol. 109, pp. 405–413, 1933.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, J. Pečarić, and L. E. Persson, “Some inequalities of Hadamard type,” *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 335–341, 1995.
- [6] İ. İşcan, “Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for harmonically convex functions,” *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 935–942, 2014.
- [7] İ. İşcan, “Ostrowski type inequalities for  $p$ -convex functions,” *New Trends in Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 140–150, 2016, doi: [10.20852/ntmsci.2016318838](https://doi.org/10.20852/ntmsci.2016318838).
- [8] İ. İşcan, S. Numan, and K. Bekar, “Hermite-Hadamard and Simpson type inequalities for differentiable harmonically  $P$ -functions,” *British Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 4, no. 14, pp. 1908–1920, 2014, doi: [10.9734/BJMCS/2014/10338](https://doi.org/10.9734/BJMCS/2014/10338).
- [9] İ. İşcan, “On weighted means and MN-convex functions,” *Turkish Journal of Inequalities*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 70–81, 2021.
- [10] E. Kreyszig, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*. University of Windsor, New York Santa Barbara London Sydney Toronto, 1978.
- [11] J. Matkowski, “Convex functions with respect to a mean and a characterization of quasi-arithmetic means,” *Real Anal. Exchange*, vol. 29, pp. 229–246, 2003/2004.
- [12] T. Z. Mirkovic, “New inequalities of Wirtinger type for convex and MN-Convex Functions,” *Facta Universitatis, Series: Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 165–173, 2019, doi: [10.22190/FUMI1902165M](https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI1902165M).
- [13] C. P. Niculescu, “Convexity according to the geometric mean,” *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 155–167, 2000, doi: [10.7153/mia-03-19](https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-03-19).
- [14] C. P. Niculescu, “Convexity according to means,” *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, vol. 6, pp. 571–579., 2003, doi: [10.7153/mia-06-53](https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-06-53).
- [15] M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor, and M. U. Awan, “Some inequalities for geometrically-arithmetically  $h$ -convex functions,” *Creat. Math. Inform.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 193–200, 2015.
- [16] A. W. Roberts and D. E. Varberg, *Convex functions*. Academic Press, New York, 1973.

*Authors’ addresses*

**Erhan Set**

(**Corresponding author**) Ordu University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, Ordu, Turkey

*E-mail address:* [erhanset@yahoo.com](mailto:erhanset@yahoo.com)

**Ali Karaođlan**

Ordu University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, Ordu, Turkey

*E-mail address:* alikaraoglan@odu.edu.tr

**İmdat İřcan**

Giresun University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, Giresun, Turkey

*E-mail address:* imdat.iscan@giresun.edu.tr

**Neslihan Kılıç**

Ordu University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, Ordu, Turkey

*E-mail address:* neslimavi1907@gmail.com